A Comprehensive Exploration of Challenges, Coping Strategies, and Organizational Dynamics among IT Teleworkers in the Remote Work Landscape: An Explanatory Case Study

Dissertation Manuscript

Submitted to National University

School of Business

In fulfillment of the

Requirements for the Degree of

DOCTOR OF BUSINESS

by

GYASI CLAYTON DAVIS

San Diego, California

October 2025

Abstract

This qualitative case study examined the effects of technology-induced microbreaks on the well-being, productivity, and job satisfaction of remote IT workers. While telework is increasingly common in the IT sector, little is known about how brief, often unintentional digital pauses—such as checking emails or notifications—impact performance and health. Semi-structured interviews were conducted with 21 IT professionals from varied roles and organizations. This study explored four core research questions: the challenges teleworkers face with technology-induced microbreaks, the opportunities these breaks present, how organizations identify and address their harmful impacts, and the advantages organizations may gain from implementing supportive microbreak policies. Thematic analysis, guided by four research questions, explored: (1) challenges faced by teleworkers, (2) perceived benefits of microbreaks, (3) organizational strategies to reduce harm, and (4) policy implications for their integration into remote work. Results showed that unregulated microbreaks can disrupt focus, fragment workflow, and contribute to cognitive fatigue, reducing productivity. In contrast, intentional microbreaks were associated with enhanced mental clarity, creativity, and emotional resilience. Organizational culture, leadership, and policy support were critical in determining whether microbreaks functioned as helpful or harmful. The findings align with the Job Demands-Resources model and digital wellness frameworks, offering actionable recommendations for structured microbreak use. This research concludes that the impact of microbreaks depends on context, intent, and organizational support, and recommends further investigation across industries, cultures, and job types.

Acknowledgements

This dissertation would not have been possible without the guidance, support, and encouragement of several important individuals. First and foremost, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my dissertation chair, Dr. Smiley, whose wisdom, feedback, and patience guided me through each stage of the research process. Your leadership has been instrumental in helping me stay focused and motivated. I am grateful for the time, care, and expertise you invested in my work, which shaped the quality and direction of this study. Your dedication to my academic success will always be remembered and appreciated.

I am eternally grateful to my wife, Martyna, for her unwavering love, understanding, and encouragement throughout this journey. Your belief in me, even during the most challenging times, gave me the strength to keep moving forward. You have been a constant source of support, balancing my academic commitments with our family needs. To my children—Nailah, Mia, and Mauricio—you have been my constant inspiration and the reason I strive to reach higher. Your patience, smiles, and unconditional love brought light to even the hardest days. This accomplishment belongs to you as much as it does to me.

A special thank you goes to my best friend, Jay, for helping me get through all the hard hurdles throughout this 11-year journey. You have been there to listen, encourage, and remind me of my own resilience when I doubted myself. Your friendship and unwavering support carried me through moments when giving up felt easier than pressing on. The shared laughter, long conversations, and perspective you offered were just as valuable as any academic resource. I am fortunate to have walked this path with you by my side.

Table of Contents

List of Tables

Chapter 1: Introduction

The rise of remote and hybrid work is one of the most notable changes caused by the COVID-19 pandemic that is expected to continue over the long term. The increase in remote work at the onset of the pandemic was significant. Global Workplace Analytics was surveyed in the early months of the COVID-19 pandemic, which found that 77 percent of office-based workers were working remotely full-time, compared with 9 percent before the start of the pandemic (Hylton et al., 2022). According to the American Time Use Survey, which the Census Bureau conducts for the Bureau of Labor Statistics, 20 percent of wage and salary workers did some or all of their work from home in 2013 (Irby, 2014). Remote work (home-based) has increased by over 180% since 2005; over 220% for 'knowledge-based occupations (Wallace, 2020).

Organizations are now on a pendulum between employees working from home or returning to the office during the global COVID-19 pandemic (Davis, 2021). A study shows that 71% of employees worked from home, and 54% wanted to continue working from home after the coronavirus outbreak (Parker et al., 2020). Employees working from home potentially pose business productivity issues; without any oversight from management, employees might be subject to multiple digital distractions that lead to microbreaks. Over the 2020–30 decade, remote and hybrid work arrangements are expected to become more common as they provide flexibility for employees and cost savings for companies (Hylton et al., 2022).

The flexibility to pause work and take short, unscheduled breaks throughout the workday was available to 55.6 percent of civilian workers in 2021 (2021). In 2021, the ability to pause work was available to 97.3 percent of workers in management occupations and 95.3 percent of

workers in computer and mathematical occupations. The ability to telework was available to 29.6 percent of those who worked in management occupations and 47.6 percent of workers in computer and mathematical occupations (2021).

The COVID-19 pandemic has drastically changed and modified organizational culture and operations. It is believed that the emergence of intelligent devices and declining mental health during the pandemic have made the battle for employee productivity harder to balance (Davis, 2021). People are bombarded daily with constant emails, text messages, calls, and social media alerts; people attempt to multitask throughout the day to manage professional tasks and personal satisfaction (Davis, 2021). These breaks could lead to poor job performance, organizational culture, or the essential driving contributor to slow business growth (Davis, 2021). The same can be said about the positivity factor, which has the opposite effect (Davis, 2021). Several studies have shown that the effects of distractions and microbreaks on high school students cause a downward trend in students' grades. However, these studies are not heavily pursued, focusing on employees in the workforce. One day, those students will enter the workforce, so how do those issues from high school transpire into the working field, let alone remotely? If researchers understand those issues in their infant stages, it may provide an elite understanding of how to tackle distraction issues in the workforce. Some other questions that make this research relevant are: what happens when daily digital distractions become the primary source? Why may teleworkers take microbreaks? Are there any consequences, benefits, or production lost for these actions? Does this newfound freedom of teleworking with digital distractions lead to microbreaks? This chapter will introduce the study by discussing the background and context, followed by the research problem, aims, objectives, and questions, as well as its significance and limitations.

Statement of the Problem

This study addresses the problem that microbreaks resulting from parallel technology distractions, interruptions, and overload in the workplace are numerous and complex to analyze (Orhan et al., 2021). 90% of millennials and Gen-Z do not want to return to full-time office work post-pandemic (Greig, 2021), so it is essential to understand their engagement with mobile devices and how parallel digital distractions play an essential role in these workers. In the research from Gurbuz et al. (2020), the development of smartphone technology and the increase in the number of transactions made with smartphones accelerated the emergence of nomophobia behavior in the younger generation. The relationship between nomophobia and the distraction associated with a smartphone is becoming problematic and imbalanced in the workforce. Therefore, the younger generation, including significant numbers of teleworkers, could severely suffer from digital distractions. These digital distractions create multiple microbreaks and could affect employees negatively or positively, and that is the factor that needs to be further researched.

Purpose of the Study

The purpose of this qualitative descriptive case study was to examine a more effective way to deal with the impact of microbreaks on teleworkers in the information technology sector. The study is logical to conduct now because the phenomenon is transforming society. More and more jobs are becoming telework- and home-based due to the current health crisis of COVID-19. This study will provide the proper response to understand better how to manage digital distractions, microbreaks caused by nomophobia, and other distractions. This research will initially target 20 participants, ideally ranging from 18 to 40 years old, who work remotely in the information technology field. This should reach the saturation threshold; however, additional

participants will be gathered if the threshold for saturation has not been met. After identifying participants, the researcher will administer a survey using SurveyMonkey as a delivery platform to collect and analyze the data. With the ongoing COVID-19 crisis, this research will be conducted virtually. All data will be stored with the SurveyMonkey platform, and only the researcher(s) will have access to the credentials for the study.

The primary method to obtain identified participants in this research study will be utilizing the LinkedIn Group by researching on LinkedIn, finding people who are teleworkers in the IT industry and between 18 to 40 years old. Also, LinkedIn Mail or 2nd-degree intros can be used to contact the participants. The secondary method may rely on paid services, e.g., gift cards to participants in the study.

Introduction to Theoretical Framework

In this section, several theoretical frameworks, such as Zajonc's theory of social facilitation, distraction-conflict theory, Ego depletion theory, and the Effort-recovery model theory, were examined. These theories were chosen based on the key terms digital distractions, nomophobia, and microbreaks. The three theoretical frameworks are in parallel with the research problem, statement, and questions to discover what is causing the microbreaks, whether the microbreaks develop a positive or negative work performance, and whether these theoretical frameworks open a Pandora's box on how to better deal with these phenomena in remote IT workers.

The Effort-Recovery model postulates that effort expanded on work demands triggers load reactions such as psychophysiological activation and behavioral reactions, Meijman TF and Mulder (1998), p 5. This theory is relevant to the research because the researcher might be able

to discover that there is a correlation between an employee's workload and digital distractions and microbreaks.

Ego depletion theory is when a person has used so much willpower and mental energy that self-control is impaired (Unger & Stahlberg, 2011). It is believed that when a person exhausts all of their resource and energy, they will give in to temptations. It is also stated that taking multiple breaks throughout the day will help people rejuvenate their energy to make proper, sound decisions to optimize themselves. The ego-depletion theory is relevant to this research study because taking scheduled multiple breaks could reduce digital distractions and unscheduled microbreaks.

The distraction-conflict theory suggests that when a person is performing a task, the mere presence of others creates a conflict between concentrating on the task and concentrating on the other people. This conflict increases arousal, which leads to social facilitation—distraction Conflict Theory. (2022). Social facilitation is the social phenomenon where a person does well while being monitored by others rather than doing a task alone. This theory is relevant because teleworkers do not have overseers. Does this theory correlate with microbreaks or intertwine with ego depletion and effort recovery theories?

Introduction to Research Methodology and Design

Using previous research studies closely aligned with our study provides strong reasons why qualitative research and case study methodology are the best approaches for the proposed research study.

Study 1, Impact of Teleworking on Travel Behaviour During the COVID-19 Era: The Case Of Sicily, Italy, was performed in October-November 2020 through an online questionnaire with participants in Sicily, Italy. The sample was acquired through email addresses and social

media (Facebook and WhatsApp). The design of the questionnaire consists of three sections of data related to socio-demographic variables, travel habits, and the assessment of certain factors conducive to walking. The evaluation of the sample of randomly selected users was carried out to assess the frequency of displacement and the different perceptions connected to the displacements in the three timeframes. In particular, the propensity for walking during three pandemic phases - before, during, and after the lockdown - was investigated. In addition, with the use of descriptive statistics, the study examines whether walking is perceived as a stress reliever and to what extent the spread of teleworking can influence the frequency of walking. A series of non-parametric tests were performed (e.g., Spearman's rank correlation) in order to measure the degree of association between two variables. Finally, the variation of traffic congestion in the monitored areas was evaluated by comparing available online data, highlighting the reduction of mobility in the different phases. This study includes observations regarding travel behavior before, during, and after the imposed lockdown (Campisi, Tesoriere, et al., 2022).

Study 1 is not a heavy natural observation study, but it provides insight into a questionnaire case study. This study is helpful and valuable in our proposed study because it shows the method of obtaining qualitative data, proposing questions such as ‘Is walking a stress relief?’. This question along corresponds with our research on microbreaks. Also, it is suited to align with the research problem, questions, and statement.

Study 2, the Impact of Social and Technological Distraction on Pedestrian Crossing Behaviour: A Case Study in Enna, Sicily (Campisi et al., 2022). This study uses the case study method to understand when and why a pedestrian gets distracted by their mobile phone when crossing the street. A survey campaign using video cameras was carried out at the urban location

of Enna city in the center of Sicily (Italy) from the period 08 March 2021-to to 14 March 2021. The monitored area is characterized by a 4arms intersection and four crossings on either side of the intersection. One of which is the most crowded and was the subject of this study with traffic light regulations near offices and schools. It is possible to define three observation phases, namely in the area before crossing (Phase A), in the waiting area (Phase B), and during the crossing (Phase C), as shown in Figure 1. It is characterized by four crossings on either side of the intersection, one of which is the most crowded and was the subject of this study (Campisi et al., 2022).

This case study parallels the proposed research study because our research aims to find how often microbreaks occur, what is causing the distraction, how long does the microbreak last, and how does it affect the teleworker output? The case study allows the researcher to monitor those actions in-depth in real-time and, therefore, observe any impacts and benefits and possibly provide a recommendation. A case study is designed to capture those reactions in real-time.

The researcher believe that the qualitative method and case study design are the best options for this study. It provides the most robust method to understand the phenomenon of microbreaks, nomophobia, and digital distractions in the workforce. A case study straightforwardly: A case study. An intensive analysis of an individual unit (as a person or community) stresses developmental factors related to the environment. The best way to study social behavior is by observation, so a case study is perfect for looking for atterns and triggers that could interfere with an individual's concentration. The qualitative methodology and case study research design tackle our research problem, purpose statement, and research questions because they align with observing individuals. In previous studies, such as Office Distractions and the Productivity of Building Users: The Effect of Workgroup Sizes

and Demographic Characteristics, the authors used the case study method to explore the effect of unwanted interruptions on an individual's perceived productivity in various building types, user groups, and workgroups (Khoshbakht et al., 2021).

Research Questions

RQ1

What are the negative impacts of microbreaks on teleworkers in the information technology sector?

RQ2

What are the positive impacts of microbreaks on teleworkers in the information technology sector?

RQ3

How can an organization overcome the harmful impact of microbreaks?

RQ4

How can an organization benefit from the helpful impact of microbreaks?

Significance of the Study

Reviewing the perspective discussion for digital distractions at the educational and workplace levels shows that both institutions suffer from distractions. Research from Bernard R. McCoy. "Digital Distractions in the Classroom Phase II: Student Classroom Use of Digital Devices for Non-Class Related Purposes" Journal of Media Education Vol. 7 Iss. 1 (2016) indicates that the frequency of classroom distractions that college students experience due to digital devices is increasing. This survey indicates that such digital distractions are often habitual and frequently happen despite an admission by a large majority (89%) of respondents that this behavior hampers their ability to pay attention in the classroom.

Another potential benefit of blocking distractions is that individuals (and teams) may feel more productive (Mark et al., 2017). Researchers have been able to establish that task interruptions take time to recover from and lead to errors (Brumby et al., 2019). Distractions could also detract from productivity due to interruption residue, where the content of the interruption remains in memory and can interfere with the current task at hand (Mark et al., 2017). On the other hand, social media, a large part of workplace distractions, can benefit productivity (Mark et al., 2017). For example, people can take digital breaks when they feel less productive (Mark et al., 2017). While online digital breaks could help people refresh, too many digital breaks and too long could take time away from core tasks (Mark et al., 2018).

The proposed study will contribute to the body of knowledge in academia and workplace industries by surfacing and evaluating approaches and strategies to optimize these breaks for teleworkers. This study will help address the current shortage of research in this area and provide real-world value to organizations operating in the new global business environment. The significance of the findings can help organizations' leadership, and practitioners to gain a solid understanding of what leads to microbreaks can be managed to gain the best way optimize workflow and breaks while working remotely.

The benefits of addressing the study problem, achieving the study purpose, and answering the research questions may result in an overall map or at least a foundation on how management can deal with microbreaks brought by digital distractions. These findings may show that employers may have to adapt their philosophy on expectations regarding microbreaks and digital distractions for teleworkers versus in-office workers. Not addressing this phenomenon could develop into a downward spiral between in-house employees versus teleworkers which could hurt the organization's culture and morale.

Definitions of Key Terms

Digital Distractions are the distractions due to electronic devices and media that break the concentration from the main piece of work that is being done (Agrawal et al., 2017)

Microbreaks are scheduled rest breaks taken to prevent the onset or progression of cumulative trauma disorders in the computerized workstation environment (McLean et al., 2001).

Nomophobia is the fear of missing out, typically on what is happening on social media and other mobile application outlets (Farooqui et al., 2017).

Summary

Whether educational or in the workplace, organizations are experiencing growing digital distractions issues that result in microbreaks. This study addresses the problem that microbreaks resulting from parallel technology distractions, interruptions, and overload in the workplace are numerous and complex to analyze (Orhan et al., 2021). Several theories and ideologies exist about why people think it occurs and the remedies. Although the underlying issues are not fully understood, this qualitative case study aims to examine a more effective way to deal with the impact of microbreaks on teleworkers in the information technology sector. The researcher believes the following questions can be addressed by applying these theoretical frameworks from Zajonc's theory of social facilitation, distraction-conflict theory, Ego depletion theory, and the Effort-recovery model. These questions are molded around the negative and positive impacts of microbreaks, how to overcome any harmful impacts, and how an organization can benefit from the beneficial impacts of microbreaks. Diving deeper into critical terms such as digital distractions, micro-breaks, and nomophobia could unleash the Pandora box that other researchers have not ventured into. This may provide additional insight into this issue. In this study, the

researcher believes that it will be able to bridge some gaps and limitations in current research and develop additional roads for future researchers to investigate.

Chapter 2: Literature Review

This study aims to examine the impacts of microbreaks on teleworkers in the information technology sector, focusing on both positive and negative effects. The review aims to comprehensively understand how microbreaks influence teleworkers' well-being, productivity, and work-life balance within the dynamic IT remote work environment. Moreover, it provides organizations with an understanding of how to collaborate to find more effective ways to deal with the impact of microbreaks.

With the increasing prevalence of telework in the information technology sector, it is necessary to critically assess the role of microbreaks and their potential consequences. The challenge lies in determining how organizations can harness the positive impacts of microbreaks while mitigating potential adverse effects, ensuring that teleworkers in the IT sector experience optimal well-being and sustained productivity. This literature review addresses this gap by synthesizing existing research to inform organizational strategies for managing microbreaks effectively in the IT telework setting.

The COVID-19 pandemic has significantly increased the role of remote telework, focusing on the technology sector (Brynjolfsson et al., 2020). This shift has been met with enthusiasm and concern, as it has blurred the boundaries between work and private life, leading to increased surveillance and alienation (Manokha, 2020). Despite these challenges, the pandemic has accelerated the adoption of remote work, increasing its importance and frequency (Mouratidis, 2021). Employees have reported both positive and negative effects of remote work, including timesaving and work-life balance, a lack of social interaction, and the blurring of work-life boundaries (Dolot, 2020). The impact of COVID-19 on remote work has also been explored in terms of employee engagement, focusing on the role of HRD in ensuring skills and

knowledge (Pass, 2022). The shift to remote work has been enabled by digital technologies, leading to an increase in digital exhaust and the use of AI to predict and shape employee behavior (Leonardi, 2020). The pandemic has also influenced organizational communication, increasing cross-level communication and off-hours messaging (Oz, 2020). Lastly, the pandemic has shaped the perception of telework, with an increased interest in the hybrid work model (Ameen et al., 2023).

The literature review will examine the changing landscape of work brought about by the global health crisis, emphasizing the unprecedented shift to remote work. The exploration will then delve into various dimensions of remote work, ranging from its impact on productivity, work-life balance, and employee well-being to its challenges and opportunities. A systematic search was performed across various academic databases and search engines to conduct a comprehensive literature review on microbreaks in the workplace. The following sources were accessed: PubMed is a renowned medical and health-related research database, including studies on occupational well-being. PsycINFO is an essential database in psychology and behavioral sciences, containing research on workplace psychology and employee well-being. Google Scholar is a widely used search engine for academic publications, including scholarly articles, theses, and conference papers. IEEE Xplore is a database specializing in engineering and technology literature, providing insights into technology-assisted microbreaks. *Academic Search Premier* is a multidisciplinary database encompassing various academic fields, including organizational psychology and management. ProQuest Dissertations & Theses Global was accessed to identify relevant dissertations and theses on microbreaks in the workplace. The search parameters were carefully defined to ensure a thorough exploration of the literature while focusing on microbreaks in the workplace. The following criteria were applied: A detailed list of

search terms and their combinations was devised, incorporating keywords such as "microbreaks," "workplace," "employee well-being," and related terms (see Appendix A for an exhaustive list of search terms).

The search encompassed publications from 2000 to 2024. This time frame was selected to include recent research while covering a substantial study period on microbreaks. The search was limited to peer-reviewed journal articles, conference papers, and scholarly publications. Non-academic sources, including news articles and non-peer-reviewed materials, were excluded to maintain the scholarly rigor of the review. The selection of these databases and search engines was guided by the need to gather diverse perspectives on microbreaks in the workplace. PubMed and PsycINFO were chosen to capture studies on microbreaks' psychological and health aspects, while Google Scholar provided access to a broad range of academic sources. IEEE Xplore was included to explore technology-related aspects of microbreaks, Academic Search Premier covered multidisciplinary studies, and ProQuest Dissertations & Theses Global was accessed to identify relevant graduate-level research. The chosen publication range of 2000 to 2024 allowed for the inclusion of contemporary studies while maintaining a historical perspective. By focusing on peer-reviewed literature, the review aimed to ensure the reliability and validity of the sources. Please refer to Appendix A for a comprehensive list of search terms, publications, and combinations utilized in the search process. These publications and terms used in this literature review cover the major subheadings, topics such as the definition of microbreaks, the positive impacts of microbreaks, the negative impacts of microbreaks, factors influencing microbreak effectiveness, strategies for managing microbreaks, and future directions and research gaps.

Theoretical or Conceptual Framework

This study's guiding theoretical and conceptual framework is rooted in a comprehensive integration of several prominent psychological and organizational theories, which collectively serve as the cornerstone for understanding the intricate phenomenon of microbreaks in the workplace. These theories have been meticulously selected and synthesized to provide a holistic perspective on how microbreaks impact employees' well-being, productivity, and overall work experiences. First and foremost, Zajonc's Theory of Social Facilitation is instrumental in elucidating the influence of social interactions and the presence of others on employees during microbreaks (Ukezono et al., 2015). This theory posits that the mere presence of co-workers can enhance or inhibit individual performance and behavior. This concept is relevant when examining the social aspects of microbreaks within a team or organizational context.

Zajonc's Theory of Social Facilitation is the phenomenon wherein the presence of others influences an individual's performance on a task—the arousal of the physiological and psychological activation or readiness to respond to stimuli (Zajonc, R. B., 1965). The task complexity refers to the level of difficulty or novelty of a task. The relationship around Zajonc's theory shows that the presence of others increases arousal levels, strengthening the dominant response. This leads to enhanced performance on tasks individuals are proficient at, but may hinder performance on more complex tasks. The presence of others amplifies arousal, enhancing performance on simple tasks. However, increased arousal can lead to interference and impaired performance on complex tasks. Zajonc's Theory of Social Facilitation assumes that the presence of others influences an individual's arousal level and that increased arousal enhances the dominant response. Propositions within the social facilitation primarily occur on well-learned or straightforward tasks. Others' presence can impair performance on complex tasks due to

increased arousal. The effect of social facilitation depends on the interaction between task complexity and arousal level. In summary, Zajonc's theory of social facilitation provides a framework for understanding how the presence of others affects individual task performance. It suggests that social context influences performance through arousal levels, enhancing performance on simple tasks but potentially hindering it on complex tasks.

Zajonc's theory of social facilitation, proposed by Robert Zajonc in 1965, emerged from a series of seminal experiments aimed at understanding the impact of social context on individual performance (Ukezono et al., 2015). Zajonc's work built upon earlier research in social psychology, particularly on the effects of group presence and social influences on behavior. The origin of Zajonc's theory can be traced back to his investigation of the "drive theory," which posits that the presence of others increases arousal levels, thereby enhancing an individual's dominant or habitual responses. This theory was initially proposed by Triplett in 1898, who observed that cyclists performed better in the presence of others compared to when alone. Zajonc expanded on this idea and formulated his theory of social facilitation, suggesting that the mere presence of others can enhance or impair performance depending on the complexity of the task.

Zajonc's theory gained further support and refinement through subsequent research and empirical studies. One influential study by Zajonc in 1965 examined the effects of audience presence on the performance of simple and complex tasks (Ukezono et al., 2015). The findings supported his theory, demonstrating that the presence of others led to enhanced performance on well-learned tasks but impaired performance on novel or complex tasks.

Over the years, researchers have continued to explore and refine Zajonc's theory through experimental studies across various domains, including psychology, sociology, and organizational behavior. These studies have contributed to a deeper understanding of the

mechanisms underlying social facilitation and the conditions under which it occurs (Ukezono et al., 2015). Contemporary research on Zajonc's social facilitation theory has expanded its applicability to real-world settings, such as sports, education, and workplace performance. Advances in technology and methodologies have allowed researchers to investigate social facilitation effects in more nuanced ways, shedding light on the role of factors like task interdependence, social identity, and individual differences.

In summary, the origin and development of Zajonc's theory of social facilitation stem from early observations and experiments in social psychology, culminating in a comprehensive framework that explains how the presence of others influences individual performance across different tasks and contexts. Ongoing research continues to enrich our understanding of social facilitation dynamics and their practical implications in various domains. Several research studies have applied Zajonc's theory of social facilitation to investigate the impact of audience presence on task performance across different domains. One notable example is the study by (Cottrell et al., 1968), which examined how the presence of an audience influenced participants' performance on various tasks, including simple motor tasks and complex cognitive tasks. The findings supported Zajonc's theory, showing that the presence of others enhanced performance on well-learned tasks but impaired performance on novel or complex tasks.

Another study (Guerin, 1983) explored the effects of audience presence on athletic performance in competitive swimming. Consistent with Zajonc's theory, the researchers found that swimmers performed better in the presence of spectators, particularly on tasks they had practiced extensively. However, the presence of an audience led to decrements in performance on tasks that required precise coordination or decision-making. These studies exemplify the application of Zajonc's theory of social facilitation in understanding how the presence of others

influences performance across different tasks and contexts. The chosen framework aligns with the present study's focus, which aims to investigate the effects of social context on task performance in a controlled experimental setting.

In recent years, Zajonc's theory has been actively used. The study by (Ukezono et al., 2015) aimed to explore Zajonc's drive theory, which suggests that heightened arousal triggered by perceiving others' presence leads to social facilitation. Two experiments were conducted to investigate if increasing arousal through a stepping exercise performed before others would facilitate a cognitive task when others' presence did not inherently elevate arousal. In the main experiment, participants underwent a cognitive task after manipulating the presence of others and arousal enhancement. Results revealed that perceiving others and enhancing arousal induced the most vital social facilitation. In a supplementary experiment, the effect of another person's presence during the task alone facilitated task performance, irrespective of arousal enhancement. Thus, the study extends Zajonc's theory by demonstrating that perceiving others and heightened arousal as an "aftereffect" induce social facilitation, mainly when participants do not experience others' presence during the task.

Alternative frameworks within Zajonc's social facilitation theory could include investigations into the role of social identity or group dynamics in moderating the effects of audience presence on performance. For example, researchers could explore how group cohesion or perceived social support affects individuals' responses to audience presence and subsequent task performance (Savage & Melamed, 2021). However, the selected framework was chosen because it provides a foundational understanding of the basic mechanisms underlying social facilitation effects, making it suitable for examining the general influence of audience presence on task performance.

Zajonc's theory of social facilitation framework relates to the present study by providing a theoretical lens through which to examine the influence of social context, specifically the presence of others, on individual task performance (Ukezono et al., 2015). This framework guided the development of the problem statement, purpose statement, and research questions by highlighting the importance of understanding how audience presence affects performance on different tasks. In the problem statement, Zajonc's theory of social facilitation framework identified the issue at hand: the impact of microbreaks resulting from parallel technology distractions, interruptions, and overload in the workplace. By recognizing that the presence of others can influence task performance, the framework helped frame the problem as one related to social context and its potential effects on productivity. The purpose statement of the study, to examine a more effective way to deal with the impact of microbreaks on teleworkers in the information technology sector, was guided by Zajonc's theory of social facilitation framework. This purpose statement reflects the aim to investigate how the presence of others, even in a virtual context, may influence teleworkers' performance during microbreaks. The research questions were also shaped by Zajonc's theory of social facilitation framework. For instance, the questions about the negative and positive impacts of microbreaks on teleworkers in the information technology sector directly address the potential effects of social context on task performance. Additionally, the questions about how an organization can overcome the harmful impact of microbreaks and benefit from the helpful impact align with the framework's emphasis on understanding the mechanisms underlying social facilitation effects and their implications for productivity.

Overall, Zajonc’s theory of social facilitation framework provided a theoretical foundation for exploring the influence of social context on task performance in the context of

microbreaks among teleworkers in the information technology sector (Ukezono et al., 2015). It guided the development of the problem statement, purpose statement, and research questions by emphasizing the importance of considering audience presence and its potential effects on productivity.

The distraction-conflict theory framework provides a theoretical basis for understanding how distractions, including social stimuli, can impact task performance (Baron, 1986). The distraction-conflict theory proposes that performance decrements in the presence of others occur due to divided attention between the task at hand and monitoring the social environment. It encompasses the concepts of distraction, which refers to external stimuli diverting attention, and conflict, which involves competition for attentional resources between the task and the social environment. According to this theory, distractions created by the presence of others conflict with task focus, leading to performance decrements, particularly on tasks requiring concentration or cognitive effort. The effectiveness or efficiency with which an individual performs a given task performance.

According to distraction-conflict theory, distractions lead to a conflict for attentional resources (Chu et al., 2021). This conflict, in turn, can impair task performance by diverting cognitive resources away from the primary task. Social stimuli, such as the presence of others, can act as distractions and compete for attentional resources. The more attention is directed towards social stimuli, the less remains available for the task, potentially leading to performance decrements. The impact of distractions on task performance may vary depending on the complexity of the task. While simple tasks may be less affected by distractions, complex tasks that require sustained attention and cognitive resources are more susceptible to performance decrements in the presence of distractions.

The first assumption is that distractions compete for attention. Distraction-conflict theory assumes that attentional resources are limited and that distractions compete for these resources with the primary task (Oberauer, 2019). The second assumption is the impact of distractions on task performance (Oberauer, 2019). The theory proposes that distractions can lead to performance decrements by diverting attention from the task. The first proposition is that task complexity moderates distraction effects. The effect of distractions on task performance varies depending on the complexity of the task (Oberauer, 2019). Simple tasks may be less affected, while complex tasks are more vulnerable to distraction effects. The second proposition is that social stimuli are distractions. Social stimuli, including the presence of others, can act as distractions and impair task performance by competing for attentional resources.

In summary, the distraction-conflict theory framework provides a theoretical understanding of how distractions, including social stimuli, can impact task performance by competing for attentional resources. It posits that distractions can lead to conflicts that impair performance, with the extent of the impact influenced by task complexity and the nature of the distracting stimuli (Blahopoulou et al., 2022).

The distraction-conflict theory, also known as the attentional conflict theory, emerged in psychology as an explanation for how distractions impact task performance. The theory originated from early research in the 1960s and has since been refined and expanded upon by various scholars in the field. The roots of the distraction-conflict theory can be traced back to the pioneering work of researchers such as Robert Zajonc and Robert B. Zajonc in the mid-1960s (Ukezono et al., 2015). Zajonc's early studies on social facilitation and arousal provided the groundwork for understanding how the presence of others can influence individual behavior and

performance. Building upon this research, subsequent scholars began to explore the specific mechanisms underlying the effects of distractions on task performance (Ukezono et al., 2015).

Over the decades, the distraction-conflict theory has evolved through empirical research and theoretical refinement. Researchers have conducted numerous experiments investigating how various distractions, including auditory, visual, and social stimuli, affect cognitive processes and performance (Craik, 2014). Early studies focused on simple laboratory tasks, such as reaction time tasks, to demonstrate the disruptive effects of distractions on attention and performance. As the field progressed, scholars expanded their investigations to real-world settings and more complex cognitive tasks, such as reading comprehension, problem-solving, and decision-making. This broader scope allowed researchers to explore the nuances of distraction effects in different contexts and populations. Additionally, advancements in cognitive neuroscience have provided insights into the neural mechanisms underlying attentional processes and how distractions interfere with cognitive functioning.

In contemporary research, the distraction-conflict theory remains a prominent framework for studying attention and performance in various domains, including cognitive psychology, human factors, and educational psychology. Recent studies have used sophisticated experimental designs and neuroimaging techniques to elucidate the underlying mechanisms of distraction effects further (Zickerick et al., 2020). Moreover, interdisciplinary collaborations have enriched our understanding of distraction phenomena by integrating insights from cognitive psychology, neuroscience, computer science, and other disciplines. This interdisciplinary approach has facilitated the development of computational models and interventions to mitigate distractions' negative impacts on performance in real-world settings.

The distraction-conflict theory has significantly developed since its inception, with researchers continually refining and expanding our understanding of how distractions influence cognitive processes and behavior. By integrating insights from multiple disciplines and employing advanced research methodologies, scholars have made substantial contributions to the field, paving the way for future advancements in attention research (Dwivedi et al., 2022).

Several research studies have employed the distraction-conflict theory framework to investigate how distractions impact cognitive processes and task performance. Study 1 conducted (Min, 2017) in the "Effects of the Use of Social Network Sites on Task Performance: Toward a Sustainable Performance in a Distracting Work Environment." The study investigates the impact of social network site (SNS) use on task performance sustainability, acknowledging the contrasting perspectives in existing literature regarding its effects. While some argue that SNS use detracts from work performance, others suggest it enhances performance through social benefits. In contrast, drawing on distraction-conflict theory, this experimental study explores how SNS use affects different task types. By distinguishing between simple and complex tasks and analyzing electroencephalography data, the study reveals that SNS use positively influences performance during simple tasks and has a neutral effect during complex tasks. The positive influence during simple tasks is attributed to increased psychological arousal induced by SNS use. Meanwhile, the neutral effect during complex tasks is due to arousal offsetting the positive impact of reduced stress from SNS use.

The justification for the distraction-conflict theory framework was chosen for these studies because of its comprehensive explanation of how distractions interfere with cognitive processes and task performance (Craik, 2014). This theory posits that distractions create a conflict for attentional resources, leading to interference and decrements in performance. By

adopting this framework, researchers can systematically investigate the underlying mechanisms of distraction effects and develop strategies to mitigate their impact. Additionally, the distraction-conflict theory has a solid empirical foundation. It is widely recognized in cognitive psychology, making it a suitable framework for studying attentional processes across diverse contexts and tasks. The distraction-conflict theory framework relates to the present study by providing a lens through which to examine how distractions impact task performance in a specific context. In the context of the present study, which focuses on the effects of workplace distractions on task performance among teleworkers, the distraction-conflict theory offers valuable insights into the cognitive processes underlying distraction effects.

The distraction-conflict theory framework addresses the problem statement by guiding the development of the problem statement by emphasizing the detrimental impact of distractions on task performance (Zickerick et al., 2020). The problem statement might highlight the prevalence of distractions in the workplace, their challenges to teleworkers, and the need to understand how distractions interfere with cognitive processes. The purpose statement of the study is framed around investigating the effects of distractions on task performance among teleworkers, with the distraction-conflict theory serving as the theoretical framework. It aims to explore how distractions create conflicts for attentional resources, leading to decrements in performance, and to identify strategies to mitigate these effects. The research questions address critical aspects of distraction effects within the distraction-conflict theory framework. They may inquire about the types of distractions experienced by teleworkers, how distractions interfere with task performance, factors that exacerbate or mitigate distraction effects, and strategies for managing distractions to improve performance. Overall, the distraction-conflict theory framework provides a theoretical basis for understanding the relationship between distractions

and task performance in the workplace. By adopting this framework, the study aims to contribute to the literature on cognitive processes underlying distraction effects and to inform practical interventions to enhance teleworkers' performance in the face of distractions.

Ego depletion theory suggests that self-control draws upon finite cognitive resources, and exerting self-control on one task can deplete these resources, leading to decreased performance on subsequent tasks requiring self-control (Lindner et al., 2017). It involves the concepts of self-control, which refers to regulating thoughts, emotions, and behaviors, and cognitive resources, which are mental energy required for self-control and cognitive tasks. The theory proposes that engaging in tasks requiring self-control depletes cognitive resources, impairing subsequent self-control efforts and leading to diminished performance on tasks requiring self-regulation. It assumes limited cognitive resources depleted through exertion, impairing subsequent self-control efforts.

The relationship between self-control and cognitive resources is central to the theory, as the depletion of cognitive resources undermines the individual's ability to effectively engage in subsequent acts of self-control (Li et al., 2022). Limited Cognitive Resources: Ego depletion theory assumes that cognitive resources are finite and can be depleted through exertion. Individuals have a limited capacity for self-control, and prolonged or intensive use of self-control leads to depletion (Arber et al., 2017). Depletion Effect: The theory proposes that exerting self-control on one task results in a depletion of cognitive resources, impairing subsequent self-control efforts. This depletion effect manifests as reduced performance on tasks requiring self-regulation. Ego depletion theory suggests that cognitive resources can be replenished through rest or recovery periods. Engaging in activities that do not require self-control allows for restoring depleted cognitive resources, thus alleviating the effects of ego depletion. In summary,

the Ego Depletion Theory framework posits that self-control relies on limited cognitive resources, which can be depleted through exertion. This depletion impairs subsequent self-regulation efforts, leading to diminished performance on tasks requiring self-control. Understanding the dynamics of ego depletion is crucial for comprehending individual behavior and performance in contexts where self-control plays a significant role.

The ego depletion theory, proposed by Roy Baumeister and colleagues in the late 1990s, emerged from a series of experiments to understand the nature of self-control and willpower (Inzlicht & Schmeichel, 2012). Baumeister's research was influenced by earlier studies that explored the concept of self-regulation and the role of cognitive resources in controlling behavior. The concept of ego depletion stemmed from observations made in various psychological experiments. Initially, it was noticed that individuals who exerted self-control in one task seemed to perform worse on subsequent tasks that also required self-control. Baumeister and his team sought to investigate this phenomenon more systematically. In one of the earliest experiments conducted by Baumeister and colleagues, participants were asked to resist the temptation to eat tempting foods in front of them. Subsequently, they were given tasks that required self-control, such as solving complex puzzles or persisting in the face of frustration. The results consistently showed that individuals who resisted the temptation to eat performed worse on the subsequent tasks than those who had not exerted self-control earlier. This suggested that self-control in one domain depleted cognitive resources, impairing performance in subsequent self-regulatory tasks—a phenomenon termed ego depletion.

Following these initial studies, Baumeister and his colleagues conducted numerous experiments to explore the mechanisms underlying ego depletion further. They investigated factors that might moderate the depletion effect, such as the individual's beliefs about willpower,

the nature of the self-control task, and the presence of incentives (Hagger et al., 2016). Additionally, researchers examined potential strategies for mitigating ego depletion, such as glucose supplementation or cognitive interventions.

Over the years, the ego depletion theory has undergone refinement and elaboration, with researchers from various disciplines contributing to its development. While early studies focused primarily on laboratory experiments, more recent research has explored ego depletion in real-world contexts, such as academic performance, interpersonal relationships, and health behavior. Despite some controversies and debates surrounding the replicability of ego depletion effects, the theory remains influential in understanding self-control processes and their implications for behavior regulation. Ongoing research continues to investigate the underlying mechanisms of ego depletion and its practical implications for promoting effective self-regulation strategies (Lurquin & Miyake, 2017).

Several research studies have utilized the ego depletion theory framework to investigate self-control and its effects on behavior. In the study (Dang, 2017), the ego depletion effect, a well-known phenomenon in social psychology, has garnered significant attention. A recent meta-analysis employing PET-PEESE to address small-study effects concluded that the ego depletion effect was negligible (Dang, 2017). However, this conclusion may be premature due to concerns regarding the appropriate use of PET-PEESE (Dang, 2017). This paper presents a rigorous meta-analysis of ego depletion, addressing issues identified in the previous analysis and including new studies overlooked by it. The findings indicate that tasks like attention videos may not effectively deplete ego resources, while emotion videos appear to be the most effective depleting task. Further research is warranted to validate these findings and explore the efficacy of various depletion tasks uncovered in this meta-analysis (Dang, 2017).

Alternative frameworks, such as the strength model of self-control, focus on similar concepts but offer slightly different perspectives on self-regulation (Hagger et al., 2016). However, the ego depletion theory framework was chosen for its robust empirical support and widespread application across various psychology and behavioral science domains. Additionally, the ego depletion framework provides specific predictions about the depletion of cognitive resources following acts of self-control, making it particularly relevant for studies investigating the effects of exerting self-control on subsequent behavior.

The effort-recovery model posits that sustained effort leads to mental fatigue, which can be alleviated through rest or recovery periods. It involves the concepts of effort, which is cognitive or physical energy exertion, and recovery, which refers to rest or relaxation, restoring depleted resources, and reducing fatigue (Balk & Englert, 2020). According to this model, prolonged effortful activity depletes cognitive resources and accumulates mental fatigue. Recovery activities replenish these resources and reduce fatigue, enhancing performance on subsequent tasks (Balk & Englert, 2020). The model assumes that sustained effort results in mental fatigue and decreased performance, proposing that recovery activities restore depleted cognitive resources and reduce fatigue, thus enhancing subsequent task performance (Balk & Englert, 2020). Overall, these theories offer valuable insights into the psychological mechanisms underlying performance in social contexts, the effects of distractions on task performance, the role of self-control in cognitive functioning, and the importance of rest and recovery in maintaining optimal performance. Each theory provides a unique perspective on how various factors influence human behavior and performance in different contexts. In the context of the present study, which aims to examine the impact of microbreaks on teleworkers in the information technology sector, the Ego Depletion Theory framework provides valuable insights

into the potential effects of sustained cognitive effort and the role of rest or recovery in replenishing depleted cognitive resources. Here is how and why the ego depletion theory framework relates to the study's problem statement, purpose statement, and research questions.

The problem statement highlights the complexity of analyzing microbreaks resulting from technology distractions, interruptions, and overload in the workplace. By incorporating the ego depletion theory framework, the problem statement recognizes that prolonged cognitive effort without adequate breaks may lead to mental fatigue and decreased performance among teleworkers (Costin et al., 2023). The study aims to examine a more effective way to deal with the impact of microbreaks on teleworkers in the information technology sector. The ego depletion theory framework guides this purpose by emphasizing the importance of understanding how breaks or rest periods can mitigate the adverse effects of cognitive depletion caused by prolonged work on teleworkers' well-being and productivity (Costin et al., 2023). The ego depletion theory framework informs these research questions by suggesting that microbreaks may serve as opportunities for teleworkers to replenish depleted cognitive resources, potentially mitigating the negative impacts of sustained cognitive effort. Additionally, the framework explores strategies and interventions that organizations can implement to facilitate effective microbreaks, thereby enhancing teleworkers' well-being and productivity (Costin et al., 2023). Overall, the ego depletion theory framework provides a theoretical basis for understanding the potential effects of microbreaks on teleworkers' cognitive resources and performance, guiding the study's focus on investigating the relationship between microbreaks, cognitive fatigue, and productivity in the information technology sector.

The effort-recovery model posits that sustained effort leads to mental fatigue, which can be alleviated through rest or recovery periods. It involves the concepts of effort, which is

cognitive or physical energy exertion, and recovery, which refers to rest or relaxation, restoring depleted resources, and reducing fatigue (Zoupanou et al., 2013). According to this model, prolonged effortful activity depletes cognitive resources and accumulates mental fatigue. Recovery activities replenish these resources and reduce fatigue, enhancing performance on subsequent tasks (Zoupanou et al., 2013). The model assumes that sustained effort results in mental fatigue and decreased performance, proposing that recovery activities restore depleted cognitive resources and reduce fatigue, thus enhancing subsequent task performance (Zoupanou et al., 2013).

Effort and mental fatigue is a prolonged engagement in demanding tasks that leads to the depletion of cognitive resources and the accumulation of mental fatigue. The recovery and mental fatigue recovery activities, such as breaks or relaxation periods, help restore depleted cognitive resources and alleviate mental fatigue. The first assumption is that sustained effort leads to mental fatigue (Marcora et al., 2009). The second assumption is that recovery activities mitigate mental fatigue by replenishing depleted cognitive resources (Marcora et al., 2009). The first proposition is that breaks or periods of rest facilitate recovery by allowing individuals to disengage from demanding tasks and restore cognitive resources. The second proposition is that recovery activities improve subsequent task performance by reducing mental fatigue and enhancing cognitive functioning (Marcora et al., 2009). The third proposition is that the effectiveness of recovery strategies varies based on factors such as the duration and timing of breaks, individual differences in recovery needs, and the nature of the task. In summary, the Effort-Recovery Model posits that sustained effort leads to mental fatigue, while recovery activities help restore depleted cognitive resources and alleviate fatigue (Marcora et al., 2009).

By incorporating this framework, the study aims to investigate how microbreaks, as a form of recovery, impact teleworkers' well-being, and productivity in the information technology sector.

The effort-recovery model, also known as the effort-recovery theory, has its roots in occupational health psychology and human factors engineering. The concept emerged in the 1980s and has since been refined and expanded upon in various studies and theoretical frameworks (Zoupanou et al., 2013). The effort-recovery model was initially proposed as a theoretical framework to understand the relationship between effort expenditure, fatigue, and recovery in the workplace. Early research in the 1980s, such as studies by researchers like Steg and Baumann, explored the impact of workload and recovery time on performance and well-being in industrial and organizational settings. Ergonomics and occupational health psychology researchers began to investigate how factors like task demands, work schedules, and recovery strategies influenced workers' fatigue levels and overall performance (Zoupanou et al., 2013).

Researchers further developed the effort-recovery model throughout the 1990s and early 2000s by conducting empirical studies and refining theoretical concepts. Studies by Hockey and colleagues contributed significantly to understanding mental fatigue and recovery processes. They investigated the effects of prolonged cognitive work on fatigue and the role of breaks in restoring cognitive resources (Wendsche et al., 2021). Other researchers, such as Sonnentag and Zijlstra, expanded the effort-recovery model to examine recovery processes beyond traditional work settings, including studies on leisure activities, recovery from stress, and the effects of sleep on recovery (Wendsche et al., 2021). Recent advancements in neuroscientific research have provided insights into the physiological mechanisms underlying mental fatigue and recovery. Studies using techniques like functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) and

electroencephalography (EEG) have shed light on how cognitive resources are depleted during effortful tasks and replenished during recovery periods.

Current literature on the effort-recovery model continues to explore various aspects of effort expenditure, fatigue, and recovery in different contexts. Researchers are investigating the effectiveness of different recovery strategies, such as rest breaks, relaxation techniques, and physical activity, in mitigating mental fatigue and improving performance (Albulescu et al., 2022). Studies also examine individual differences in recovery needs and preferences, considering factors such as personality traits, work engagement, and sleep quality. The effort-recovery model has been applied to diverse populations and settings, including healthcare, education, information technology, and sports, highlighting its relevance across various domains (Schleupner & Kühnel, 2021). In summary, the effort-recovery model originated as a theoretical framework in occupational health psychology and has evolved through empirical research and theoretical refinements. It continues to be a valuable tool for understanding the complex interplay between effort expenditure, fatigue, and recovery in diverse contexts.

Several existing research studies have utilized the effort-recovery model framework to investigate the dynamics of effort expenditure, fatigue, and recovery in various contexts. Here is an example in study 1 (Zoupanou et al., 2013), " Recovery after Work: The Role of Work Beliefs in the Unwinding Process." In this study, the Effort-Recovery model is employed to investigate the impact of mental detachment from work on post-work recovery, considering the influence of workers' beliefs about work and leisure and interruptions experienced during work. Two measures of post-work recovery, problem-solving pondering and psychological detachment, were assessed in 310 participants across various occupational sectors. The study examined the effects of positive and negative appraisals of work interruptions and work ethic beliefs on post-

work recovery indices through mediated and moderated regression analyses. The results indicated that weakened beliefs in wasted time partially mediated reduced problem-solving pondering after positive appraisals of work interruptions and facilitated psychological detachment when interruptions were perceived positively (Zoupanou et al., 2013).

Moreover, a high evaluation of the centrality of work and leisure moderated the relationship between negative appraisals of work interruptions and elevated problem-solving pondering. Positive appraisals of work interruptions were associated with problem-solving pondering and work detachment, with these associations further moderated by beliefs in the delay of gratification, hard work, and self-reliance. The implications of these findings and their theoretical and practical relevance for employees influenced by such work beliefs are discussed (Zoupanou et al., 2013).

While several frameworks exist to study fatigue and recovery, the Effort-Recovery Model stands out due to its comprehensive understanding of the interplay between effort expenditure, fatigue, and recovery processes. Alternative frameworks, such as the Conservation of Resources (COR) theory and the Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) model, focus on different aspects of work-related stress and well-being but may need to emphasize the dynamic nature of effort and recovery (Demerouti & Bakker, 2022). The effort-recovery model's focus on the depletion and restoration of cognitive resources during work and non-work activities makes it particularly suitable for investigating the mechanisms underlying fatigue and recovery in occupational settings. Additionally, the effort-recovery model's applicability across different contexts and its empirical support from a wide range of studies make it a robust framework for exploring the complex dynamics of effort and recovery in various populations and work environments.

The effort-recovery model framework is highly relevant to the present study as it provides a theoretical lens to understand the dynamics of effort expenditure, fatigue, and recovery in the context of workplace microbreaks among IT professionals. Here is how the effort-recovery model framework relates to developing the problem statement, purpose statement, and research questions. The study's problem statement highlights the complexity of analyzing microbreaks resulting from technology distractions, interruptions, and overload in the workplace. This aligns with the effort-recovery model, which emphasizes the interplay between effort expenditure and the need for recovery to maintain optimal performance (Orhan et al., 2021). The problem statement suggests that microbreaks, if not managed effectively, can contribute to fatigue and reduced productivity among IT professionals, thus necessitating an examination of strategies to address these challenges (Orhan et al., 2021). The study aims to explore a more effective way to deal with the impact of microbreaks on teleworkers in the IT sector. This purpose aligns with the effort-recovery model, as it underscores the importance of understanding how breaks can facilitate recovery from work's cognitive and physical demands (Zoupanou et al., 2013). By focusing on the effectiveness of microbreaks in promoting recovery and mitigating the adverse effects of technology-related stressors, the study aims to enhance well-being and productivity among IT teleworkers. The study's research questions are informed by the effort-recovery model and seek to investigate the impacts of microbreaks on teleworkers in the IT sector and explore strategies to overcome harmful effects while leveraging the benefits of microbreaks. These questions reflect the Effort-Recovery Model's emphasis on understanding the processes of effort expenditure, fatigue, and recovery in occupational settings. By examining both the negative impacts and potential benefits of microbreaks, the study aims to provide insights into optimizing microbreak practices to support well-being and productivity among IT

teleworkers (Albulescu et al., 2022). In summary, the effort-recovery model framework serves as a theoretical foundation for understanding the role of microbreaks in IT telework. It guides the study by highlighting the importance of balancing effort expenditure with adequate recovery to mitigate fatigue and enhance overall well-being and performance in the IT sector.

I. Microbeaks

The concept of microbreaks, defined as short rest intervals during work, has garnered attention for its potential to positively influence productivity and well-being, particularly within the information technology (IT) sector where telework is prevalent (Kim et al., 2019). This interest has been amplified by the increasing adoption of telework, accelerated by technological advancements and the COVID-19 pandemic (Athanasiadou & Theriou, 2021). A comprehensive review of the literature underscores the complex effects of telework on worker health, well-being, and productivity, emphasizing the role of microbreaks in mitigating burnout and enhancing performance (Beckel & Fisher, 2022). Indeed, research suggests that enjoyable microbreaks involving relaxation or social activities are associated with increased vigor and reduced fatigue among workers (Bennett et al., 2017). However, the optimal type and timing of microbreaks remain pivotal, as poorly managed breaks can potentially harm productivity (Hunter & Wu, 2016).

Despite the recognized benefits of microbreaks, concerns persist regarding their optimal integration into teleworking routines, particularly within the IT sector (Manokha, 2020). The surge in telework, accelerated by the COVID-19 pandemic and the adoption of flexible work arrangements, has reshaped work patterns and organizational strategies across industries (Ng et al., 2021). While telework offers advantages such as increased productivity and improved work-life balance, challenges like blurring work-life boundaries and worker alienation have emerged

(Manokha, 2020). The pandemic has catalyzed the widespread adoption of remote work, with companies leveraging digital technologies to facilitate telework (Howe et al., 2021). This transition has brought about both positive and negative outcomes, with remote work expected to persist post-pandemic, albeit with concerns about its long-term impact on work-life balance and social relationships (Vartiainen, 2021).

Integrating telework in the IT sector, facilitated by collaborative tools and cloud-based technologies, has become commonplace (Battisti et al., 2022). This shift has necessitated new management approaches to ensure productivity and well-being among teleworkers (Alghaithi & Sartawi, 2020). Technology integration offers older workers increased productivity and employment opportunities (Patrickson, 2002; Beckel & Fisher, 2022). However, it also presents challenges such as ergonomic issues, stress, and feelings of loneliness among remote workers (Hajal, 2022). The future trajectory of telework in the IT sector will likely be shaped by technological advancements and a deeper understanding of its impacts on worker health and well-being (Beckel & Fisher, 2022). Despite its potential benefits, there remains a need for ongoing research to inform effective management strategies and mitigate potential drawbacks associated with remote work (Battisti et al., 2022).

The prevalence of remote work within the IT sector has significantly increased, facilitated by collaborative tools and cloud-based technologies (Battisti et al., 2022). This shift has prompted the development of new management approaches to ensure productivity and employee well-being in virtual work environments (Alghaithi & Sartawi, 2020). Technology integration into telework has also been noted for its ability to provide older workers with increased opportunities for productivity and employment (Patrickson, 2002; Beckel & Fisher, 2022). However, alongside these benefits, challenges such as ergonomic issues, stress, and

feelings of loneliness have emerged as notable concerns in remote work settings, particularly within the IT sector (Hajal, 2022).

The trajectory of telework in the IT sector is expected to be influenced by ongoing technological advancements and a deeper understanding of its impacts on worker health and well-being (Beckel & Fisher, 2022; Kim et al., 2019). While microbreaks hold promise for enhancing teleworker performance and well-being, their successful integration into telework routines requires careful consideration of various individual and organizational factors (Beckel & Fisher, 2022). Despite their potential benefits, the effective management of microbreaks necessitates ongoing research to inform strategies that mitigate potential drawbacks associated with remote work (Battisti et al., 2022). This ongoing evolution highlights the need for continued exploration and adaptation to implement telework practices within the IT sector effectively.

II. Positive Impacts of Microbreaks

The literature on microbreaks, particularly within the information technology (IT) sector, highlights their significant potential to positively impact worker well-being and productivity (McLean et al., 2001; Qi et al., 2020). Research indicates that incorporating short respites during work can lead to enhanced worker retention, engagement, and commitment, while also reducing discomfort, without compromising productivity levels (McLean et al., 2001; Qi et al., 2020; Radwan et al., 2022). Moreover, the implementation of microbreak strategies, such as integrating light-intensity exercise intervals, has been associated with both physical and mental health benefits among IT teleworkers (Radwan et al., 2022).

These findings collectively underscore the potential of microbreaks to foster productivity, creativity, and overall well-being among IT teleworkers (Ray & Pana-Cryan, 2021). By offering brief respites during work, microbreaks enable teleworkers to recharge and rejuvenate,

potentially leading to improved performance outcomes and job satisfaction (Ray & Pana-Cryan, 2021; McLean et al., 2001; Qi et al., 2020). However, it is essential to acknowledge potential variations in the effectiveness of these strategies across different organizational contexts and individual preferences (Kim et al., 2018; Ray & Pana-Cryan, 2021). Factors such as task demands or organizational culture may influence the efficacy of microbreak interventions, necessitating a nuanced understanding of contextual factors for tailored interventions (Min, 2017).

Moreover, beyond immediate productivity gains, microbreaks have broader implications for employee well-being and organizational outcomes (Al nawayseh, 2020; Gritzka et al., 2020). These short respites have been linked to reductions in stress levels, increased employee engagement, and enhancements in creativity among IT professionals (Alghaithi & Sartawi, 2020; Gritzka et al., 2020). Active microbreaks, which involve brief sessions of light-intensity exercises, offer physical and mental health benefits, counteracting the adverse effects of sedentary work and promoting overall well-being (Radwan et al., 2022; Mainsbridge et al., 2020). Additionally, microbreaks have a significant impact on employee retention, engagement, and commitment, contributing to higher job satisfaction and organizational commitment (Rzeszotarski et al., 2013; McLean et al., 2001).

In conclusion, while the literature overwhelmingly supports the positive effects of microbreaks on worker well-being and productivity in the IT sector, variations in effectiveness across contexts and individual preferences necessitate tailored interventions. Microbreaks offer holistic benefits beyond productivity gains, including stress reduction, increased engagement, and improvements in physical and mental health. Therefore, organizations can leverage

microbreak strategies to create positive work environments conducive to greater job satisfaction, improved retention rates, and heightened employee engagement and commitment.

III. Negative Impacts of Microbreaks

Research exploring the potential drawbacks of microbreaks for IT teleworkers presents a nuanced perspective that acknowledges both their benefits and risks (Rzeszotarski et al., 2013; McLean et al., 2001; Taskin & Bridoux, 2010). While some studies highlight the benefits of microbreaks in mitigating discomfort and enhancing worker retention, others underscore the risks associated with distractions and diminished efficiency (Bennett et al., 2017; Kim et al., 2022). Effectively managing microbreaks in the IT sector requires striking a delicate balance between acknowledging their positive outcomes and recognizing potential adverse consequences (Bennett et al., 2017; Kim et al., 2022). By comprehensively evaluating the literature on microbreaks, organizations can implement strategies that optimize their benefits while mitigating associated risks, fostering a productive and supportive work environment for IT teleworkers.

Balancing the benefits and potential drawbacks of microbreaks for IT professionals poses a significant challenge, as highlighted by various studies (Radwan et al., 2022; Mainsbridge et al., 2020; Trougakos et al., 2014). While microbreaks offer positive outcomes, they also introduce challenges such as increased distractions and difficulty transitioning between tasks (Trougakos et al., 2014). These disruptions can hinder workflow efficiency and undermine the ability of IT professionals to sustain concentration. Moreover, misusing microbreaks presents a risk, as prolonged or unauthorized breaks may reduce productivity (Jett & George, 2003). Effective management and monitoring of microbreak durations are essential to prevent misuse, particularly in IT telework settings (Trougakos et al., 2014; Jett & George, 2003). Organizations

can mitigate the risk of productivity decline and maintain workflow continuity in the IT sector by ensuring that microbreaks are used appropriately.

The impact of microbreaks on concentration has garnered significant attention in research, revealing potential disruptions to workflow and cognitive performance if not managed effectively (Albulescu et al., 2022; Conlin et al., 2020; Nie et al., 2021). A comprehensive meta-analysis highlighted the risk of mismanaged microbreaks interfering with concentration levels, particularly among IT professionals (Albulescu et al., 2022). These findings underscore the necessity of strategically integrating microbreaks into the work routine to minimize disruptions and optimize cognitive functioning. While existing literature predominantly emphasizes the benefits of microbreaks, it also acknowledges the potential negative implications for IT teleworkers. Achieving a balance between leveraging microbreaks for productivity enhancement and addressing associated challenges is crucial for ensuring effective work performance, especially in virtual collaboration settings and remote work environments characteristic of the IT sector.

IV. Factors Influencing Microbreak Effectiveness

Research on the influence of microbreaks on IT sector teleworkers has provided nuanced insights into this complex phenomenon (Albulescu et al., 2022; Bennett et al., 2020; Kim et al., 2016). These studies demonstrated the significance of microbreak activities, indicating that engaging in specific tasks during breaks can substantially affect job performance and emotional well-being. This highlights the importance of encouraging teleworkers to select activities that resonate with their preferences and needs during microbreaks, thereby enhancing the overall remote work experience. Additionally, these findings underscore the role of microbreaks in self-regulation and promoting overall well-being in telework settings.

The interaction between the effects of microbreaks and teleworking-induced stressors in the IT sector introduces further complexity to understanding their impact (Albulescu et al., 2022; Mainsbridge et al., 2020; Nemțeanu & Dabija, 2023). Albulescu et al. identified social isolation and work overload as significant stressors for IT teleworkers. These stressors can shape how teleworkers perceive and benefit from microbreaks, potentially leading to nuanced outcomes. Understanding this interplay is crucial for developing interventions to support IT professionals and optimize the effectiveness of microbreaks in remote work settings.

Boundary management strategies are vital in maintaining well-being and productivity in telework (Beckel & Fisher, 2022 ;Fonner & Roloff, 2012; Härtel et al., 2023). They highlighted gender-related differences in segmenting cues among teleworkers, with women utilizing these cues more frequently. This underscores the importance of organizations comprehending and accommodating gender-related differences in boundary management strategies to support teleworkers effectively.

Moreover, team dynamics and collaboration significantly influence the impact of microbreaks, particularly in the IT sector, where teamwork is essential (Golden & Veiga, 2005; O'Connor & Basri, 2012; Tarafdar et al., 2014). These studies emphasize the intricate interplay between individual characteristics, job demands, organizational culture, and the nature of IT work. Tailoring microbreak strategies to accommodate these factors is crucial for enhancing the effectiveness of remote work environments for IT professionals.

V. Strategies for Managing Microbreaks

Managing the work-home boundary is crucial in telework to ensure the well-being and productivity of employees (Beckel & Fisher, 2022; Fonner & Roloff, 2012; Kerman et al., 2021). They emphasized the significance of teleworkers employing various cues to establish boundaries,

with gender differences observed in segmenting cues. Understanding these gender-related disparities is essential for organizations to provide adequate support to teleworkers, taking into account factors such as caregiving responsibilities or traditional gender roles. By acknowledging and addressing these differences, organizations can implement tailored approaches that consider individual preferences and gender-related variations, effectively supporting teleworkers in managing their work-home boundary for enhanced well-being and productivity.

Integrating technology into microbreak management, as suggested by (Newbold et al. 2021; Ren et al., 2019), presents several advantages, including consistent reminders and customization options. By leveraging technology-assisted reminders, individuals, particularly those in knowledge-intensive roles like IT, can effectively prevent burnout by prompting them to take breaks and recharge when needed. This approach aligns with the recommendations of Zacher et al. (2018), who emphasize the importance of incorporating diverse microbreak activities to accommodate individual preferences and prevent monotony. By offering a range of activities, technology-enabled microbreak management can enhance overall well-being and productivity among workers in the IT sector.

Microbreaks are crucial opportunities for fostering team cohesion, reducing stress, and improving mood, as emphasized by Sonnentag and Fritz (2014). These social interactions create a sense of belonging and camaraderie, which is precious in remote work settings where face-to-face interactions are limited. Moreover, establishing clear boundaries for microbreaks, as suggested by Sianoja et al. (2018), promotes effective time management and productivity and fosters a positive work culture. Additionally, the concept of creating designated microbreak spaces, as discussed by Riedl et al. (2023), not only provides employees with a change of scenery but also facilitates social interaction and signals organizational support for employee

well-being. Developing self-regulation skills, as recommended by Trougakos et al. (2020), empowers individuals to set goals, manage their time effectively, and adapt to changing work conditions, ultimately enhancing the effectiveness of microbreaks.

Furthermore, the cultural integration of microbreaks involves various strategies to promote awareness, provide resources, enact supportive policies, and maintain open communication channels, as noted by (Conlin et al., 2020; Qi et al., 2020). By incorporating these practices, organizations can ensure that microbreaks are effectively utilized across diverse cultural contexts, contributing to overall employee well-being and productivity. Additionally, monitoring the progress of microbreaks through journaling, seeking feedback, experimentation, self-assessment, and guidance from mentors or supervisors, as suggested by Trougakos et al. (2020), enables individuals to optimize the effects of microbreaks and enhance their overall work experience. These approaches highlight the multifaceted nature of microbreak management and the importance of integrating various strategies to maximize their benefits in the workplace.

VI. Future Directions and Research Gaps

The existing literature on microbreaks within the IT sector has identified several gaps and avenues for future research. (Albulescu et al., 2022; Lyubykh et al., 2022; Qi et al., 2020) highlighted the need for comprehensive investigations into microbreak strategies tailored to the IT domain, considering professionals' unique demands and challenges. Additionally, understanding individual variances in microbreak preferences and their impact on outcomes like productivity and well-being is crucial. Longitudinal studies represent a critical avenue for advancing understanding by tracking microbreak habits over time, uncovering valuable insights into sustained benefits and promoting overall employee health and performance. Longitudinal studies offer an opportunity to explore the long-term implications of microbreaks on various

aspects of well-being and performance. By assessing the sustained benefits of microbreaks, researchers can inform interventions to promote well-being and productivity, particularly in demanding work environments like the IT sector. Moreover, longitudinal research allows for the identification of potential moderators or mediators influencing microbreak efficacy, providing insights into individual differences and contextual factors shaping their effectiveness. Conducting longitudinal studies poses methodological challenges, including participant attrition and the need for long-term funding. Despite these challenges, longitudinal research is essential for capturing the dynamic nature of microbreak effects and understanding how these respites shape individuals' trajectories in the ever-evolving work landscape. Moreover, exploring barriers and facilitators to regular microbreaks at work is crucial for optimizing break strategies and improving overall well-being. Workplace culture, organizational policies, and technology-related aspects significantly influence microbreak uptake. Overcoming these barriers and leveraging facilitators identified in the literature can create environments supporting healthy work habits and fostering a culture of well-being. Integrating wearable technology into microbreak research offers exciting possibilities for gathering objective data. Huang (2016) emphasizes the advantages of wearable technology in providing real-time data on well-being and behavior, overcoming limitations associated with self-reporting methods. However, challenges such as data accuracy and ethical considerations must be addressed to maximize the potential of wearable technology in studying microbreaks effectively. Exploring the impact of microbreaks on team dynamics within IT organizations is a promising avenue for future research. Effective team dynamics are critical for collaborative tasks, and understanding how microbreaks affect virtual teams' performance is essential. Future research should adopt a nuanced approach considering contextual factors influencing collaboration and performance. Finally, exploring cultural

variations in microbreak norms and perceptions is crucial for developing tailored break policies in global organizations. Understanding how cultural factors intersect with organizational cultures can help implement microbreak policies aligning with cultural and organizational expectations. Considering cultural differences in break perceptions is essential for promoting employee well-being and engagement across diverse cultural contexts.

Summary

Microbreaks, brief pauses during work, are pivotal for enhancing productivity and well-being in the IT sector, particularly amidst the rise of telework (Athanasiadou & Theriou, 2021; Beckel & Fisher, 2022; Kim et al., 2019). While offering cognitive replenishment and preventing burnout, microbreaks necessitate careful timing and type selection to avoid productivity pitfalls (Albulescu et al., 2022; Bennett et al., 2017; Hunter & Wu, 2016). Telework's surge, catalyzed by the pandemic, brings forth benefits like increased flexibility and challenges, including work-life boundary blurring (Howe et al., 2021; Manokha, 2020; Ng et al., 2021).

Technology integration and evolving work patterns in the IT sector underscore the need for novel management approaches to ensure well-being and productivity (Alghaithi & Sartawi, 2020; Battisti et al., 2022; Hajal, 2022). Despite telework's benefits like productivity boosts, ergonomics, and loneliness pose significant challenges (Beckel & Fisher, 2022; Patrickson, 2002). Future telework trends likely hinge on technological advancements and a nuanced understanding of its effects (Beckel & Fisher, 2022; Vartiainen, 2021).

Microbreaks' positive outcomes encompass productivity, creativity, and stress reduction (Al nawayseh, 2020; Gritzka et al., 2020; McLean et al., 2001). Active microbreaks, including light exercises, counter sedentary work's adverse effects and promote physical health and mental

rejuvenation (Radwan et al., 2022). These breaks foster IT professionals' engagement, retention, and commitment (McLean et al., 2001; Rzeszotarski et al., 2013).

However, distractions and disruptions can arise, affecting concentration and workflow efficiency (Taskin & Bridoux, 2010; Trougakos et al., 2014). Balancing microbreak benefits with potential drawbacks like misuse and concentration disruptions is crucial (Albulescu et al., 2022; Jett & George, 2003). Microbreak effectiveness hinges on individual preferences, stressors, and boundary management strategies (Albulescu et al., 2022; Fonner, 2012; Kim et al., 2016). Technology-enabled reminders and diverse activities enhance microbreak utility, while social interactions foster team cohesion (Newbold et al., 2021; Sonnentag & Fritz, 2014).

Future research should delve into tailored microbreak strategies for the IT domain, longitudinal studies on sustained effects, and cultural variations in microbreak norms (Qi et al., 2020; Huang et al., 2017; Karahanna, 2005). Understanding the interplay of microbreaks with telework-induced stressors and team dynamics is crucial for optimizing remote work environments (Albulescu et al., 2022; Golden & Veiga, 2005).

Chapter 3: Research Method

This study addresses the problem that microbreaks resulting from parallel technology distractions, interruptions, and overload in the workplace are numerous and complex to analyze (Orhan et al., 2021). The purpose of this qualitative descriptive case study is to examine a more effective way to deal with the impact of microbreaks on teleworkers in the information technology sector. The methodological approach covered in this chapter includes the location of the study, research design, sampling sample size, types of data, data collection method, and its management.

Research Methodology and Design

This researcher intends to use a qualitative case study approach regarding the effects of microbreaks due to digital distractions. Qualitative methods are used to centralize and places primary value on complete understandings and how people (the social aspect of our discipline) understand, experience, and operate within dynamic and social milieus in their foundation and structure (Richard 2013). Using previous research studies closely aligned with our study provides strong reasonings why a qualitative method and case study design is the best approach for this research study.

In our study the researcher found that qualitative research is appropriate for exploring complex, context-dependent phenomena (Yin, 2018). Quantitative methods may oversimplify or miss important nuances in such situations. Qualitative research allows for an in-depth understanding of human experiences and perspectives (Denzin & Lincoln, 2017). It excels at capturing rich, subjective data that quantitative methods cannot fully capture. Qualitative research is suitable for generating theories from the ground up (Glaser & Strauss, 1967). It helps

researchers develop theories rooted in real-world observations, making it invaluable for theory development.

In our study the researcher found that a case study research is appropriate for investigating complex, real-life phenomena in depth (Yin, 2018). It allows researchers to examine unique cases within their natural contexts, providing valuable insights that other research designs may not offer. Case studies are well-suited for exploring causal relationships and contextual factors (Yin, 2018). They enable researchers to analyze how various factors interact within a specific case, facilitating a comprehensive understanding of causality. Case studies are particularly valuable when the boundaries between the phenomenon and its context are blurred (Eisenhardt, 1989). This design excels at studying situations where it is difficult to disentangle the phenomenon from its surrounding environment.

The researcher found that other non-selected qualitative research designs, such as ethnography or grounded theory, may not have been appropriate because they lack the focus on individual cases (Yin, 2018). Case study research is specifically designed for in-depth examination of single or few cases, making it more suitable for the research objectives. Some qualitative designs, like content analysis or thematic analysis, are better suited for analyzing large datasets or textual materials (Miles et al., 2020). Case studies are less suitable when the research aim is to analyze extensive text or survey data.

The researcher found that other qualitative approaches may not provide the same level of contextual depth and holistic understanding that case studies offer (Yin, 2018). Case study research emphasizes the exploration of unique cases within their natural contexts, making it a more appropriate choice when such depth is required. Other non-selected case study research designs, such as single-case studies, may not have been appropriate because they lack the

comparative dimension (Yin, 2018). Comparative case study designs allow for richer insights by analyzing multiple cases and their interactions. Some case study variations, like intrinsic case studies, focus primarily on understanding a specific case for its unique characteristics (Stake, 1995). In contrast, the research objectives may have required a broader exploration of how different cases relate or differ.

The researcher found that certain case study types, such as instrumental case studies, are designed for problem-solving or policy-oriented research (Yin, 2018). If the goal was to gain a deeper understanding of phenomena rather than solving a specific problem, such designs might not have been the most appropriate choice.

Population and Sample

The researchers plans to sample a population of approximately 4.7 million in the United States with the relevant characteristics of full or part time remote workers, with differences roles and job titles, experience levels, diversity, age group, and educational levels.The population aligns well with our purpose, as it allows for the investigation of how microbreaks uniquely affect individuals in this specific work context. The relevance to research questions explicitly focus on the negative and positive impacts of microbreaks in the IT sector, as well as strategies for overcoming the harmful impacts and leveraging the helpful ones. The selected population is ideally suited to address these questions thoroughly. The IT sector presents specific challenges, such as constant technology use and high workloads, which can intensify the significance of microbreaks. By studying this population, you gain a deep contextual understanding of how these challenges manifest and how teleworkers adapt to microbreaks within the IT sector. The findings from our study will be directly applicable to organizations operating in the IT sector.

The researcher can provide practical recommendations for addressing the challenges and benefits associated with microbreaks, which are specific to this sector.

The researcher plans to recruit a sample of approximately 15-20 IT teleworkers. However, the sample size should be flexible and determined based on the following factors: data saturation, scope and resources, diversity, and research objectives. However, the descriptive characteristics in this study indicate differences in remote work, e.g., software development, customer service, marketing, and other IT remote roles. The industry sectors where participants are employed are technology, healthcare, and education. The researcher will examine the number of work experience and with workers between the ages of 18 – 65 years old.

To ensure that data saturation is met in our qualitative case study on the impacts of microbreaks on teleworkers in the information technology (IT) sector, the following steps and strategies will be employed. First, the researcher will use initial sample selection. Secondly, in our data collection the researcher will use semi-structured interviews, allowing for flexibility in exploring the impacts of microbreaks. Thirdly, the researcher will continue to conduct data analysis. This ongoing analysis is crucial for identifying emerging themes and patterns.

Recruiting participants for this qualitative case study on the impacts of microbreaks on teleworkers in the information technology (IT) sector will involve a structured process. To ensure the researcher gather a diverse and representative sample, the researcher can do this by leveraging professional networks. Reaching out to relevant organizations, or using online platforms catering to IT teleworkers. Initial contact will be established through email, phone calls, or direct messages on professional social media platforms. The researcher will ensure that participants receive informed consent forms outlining the study's objectives, procedures, potential risks, and benefits. The researcher will clearly define the selection criteria based on the

diverse roles, experience levels, and age groups the researcher aim to include. This will guide the participant selection process. After analyzing the data, The researcher will assess whether data saturation has been achieved. If needed, the researcher will conduct further interviews or refine the analysis.

The type of sampling used in our qualitative dissertation proposal, is purposive sampling. Purposive sampling is appropriate for the methodology and design of our study. It allows us to select participants based on specific criteria directly relevant to our research questions and objectives. Purposive sampling enables us to include diverse participants, considering various roles, experience levels, and age groups within the IT sector. This ensures that our sample is representative of the population and captures a broad spectrum of experiences.

Materials or Instrumentation

In the qualitative case study the reseacher are proposing, the primary instrument for data collection is in-depth interviews. This protocol will consist of semi-structured interview questions and prompts to explore participants' experiences, perceptions, and behaviors related to microbreaks in the IT sector. Also, the interview questions will be pre-tested with a small group of participants to refine them for clarity and relevance. The instrument to be used in this study is comprised of interview questions as found in Appendix A. Instrument validity will be addressed by using multiple interview questions to answer each research question. Instrument reliability will be addressed through pilot testing with relevant stakeholders before primary data collection.

Study Procedures

The steps that will be followed to collect data in our research proposal include the data collection process. The participant recruitment will be teleworkers in the information technology (IT) sector. The recruitment will be conducted through a combination of methods, including

outreach through IT professional networks, online job platforms, and potentially through professional organizations. This platform includes LinkedIn, Twitter or X, and Facebook. The recruitment will begin in Month 1 of the study and continue until the desired sample size is reached (approximately 100 participants). What are the in-depth interviews? The researcher will be the primary method of data collection. These interviews will explore participants' experiences, perceptions, and behaviors related to microbreaks. The interviews will be conducted using a semi-structured interview protocol. Participants will be invited to one-on-one interviews, which may be conducted in person or via video conferencing, depending on participant preferences. The interviews will begin in Month 2 and continue for several months to ensure data saturation. Our interviews will be conducted with a diverse set of participants, aiming for around 100 teleworkers from the IT sector.

If surveys are used, the surveys may be used to collect quantitative data on microbreak activities, frequency, and perceived effects. The surveys will be distributed electronically to participants through online survey platforms and social media platforms such as SurveyMonkey, LinkedIn, Twitter X, and Facebook. The researcher will be administered after the interviews, starting in Month 2. The participants who have completed interviews may be invited to participate in the surveys.

Observational tools, such as time-tracking software, may collect data on the duration and frequency of microbreak activities. The observational tools will be installed on the devices of willing participants. During the observational data collection process, the researcher will run concurrently with the interview phase, starting in Month 2. The form is for participants who consent to this form of data collection.

Replicability Considerations The study will provide detailed protocols for participant recruitment, interview methods, survey administration, and any observational data collection. Evidence of any permissions for instrument/material usage will be included in the appendix. Criteria for participant inclusion will be clearly defined, making it possible to replicate the participant selection process. Our proposal mentions the goal of data saturation, providing a benchmark for determining the study's scope and ensuring that data collection continues until saturation is achieved. Our timeframes are provided, indicating when each data collection method will commence. Our proposal aims to include a diverse sample of approximately 100 teleworkers from the IT sector.

Data Analysis

In our research, the data coding and analysis strategies proposal includes transcribing all interview recordings and will be transcribed verbatim. Transcriptions will serve as the primary data source for analysis. The data management will have raw data will be securely stored and organized using dedicated data management software to ensure data integrity and confidentiality. Our thematic analysis will identify, analyze, and report patterns (themes) within the data. This process involves the following steps, the researchers will become familiar with the data by reading and re-reading transcripts. The initial codes will be generated by breaking the data down into meaningful units, creating codes that represent these units. The axial codes will be organized into broader categories or themes, highlighting relationships and patterns within the data. The selective coding is the final themes will be refined, and a narrative of the findings will be developed. Followed by coding consistency so the researcher can ensure coding consistency and inter-rater reliability, the research team will meet regularly to discuss and refine the coding process. The discrepancies will be resolved through consensus. The study will continue data

collection until data saturation is achieved, ensuring that the themes represent the depth and breadth of participants' experiences. The data analysis software is a dedicated qualitative data analysis software, such as NVivo, ATLAS.ti, or MAXQDA, used to manage and code the data. The specific software chosen for our project is focused on using ATLAS.ti.

The data security software selected will prioritize data security and privacy, ensuring that sensitive participant information is protected. The data backups are regular. This will be performed to prevent data loss. The version control is the software that will support version control to track changes and updates during the coding and analysis process.

The data analysis strategies outlined in our research proposal are well-suited to ensure that the collected data can be effectively used to answer the research questions and ultimately address the identified problem of the impacts of microbreaks on teleworkers in the information technology (IT) sector. Thematic analysis and open coding will help extract data related to the negative impacts of microbreaks on teleworkers. By systematically analyzing the data, the researcher can identify and categorize the challenges and adverse effects teleworkers face due to microbreaks, addressing the first research question. Thematic analysis, open coding, and the generation of themes will also allow us to identify and categorize the positive impacts of microbreaks. The analysis process will help us uncover how microbreaks positively affect teleworkers, including factors like increased creativity, stress reduction, or enhanced well-being. Our data analysis will provide insights into the challenges posed by microbreaks. By understanding these challenges, the researcher can develop recommendations or strategies for organizations to mitigate the harmful impact of microbreaks, addressing the third research question.

Similarly, the data analysis will uncover how organizations can harness the benefits of microbreaks. The researcher can identify strategies and practices that organizations can adopt to optimize work environments and take advantage of the helpful impact of microbreaks, addressing the fourth research question. By employing these data analysis strategies, the researcher create a strong foundation for addressing each of the research questions comprehensively. The resulting findings will contribute to a better understanding of the complex relationship between microbreaks and teleworkers in the IT sector, ultimately assisting in addressing the identified problem.

For our qualitative case study, these terms are more relevant and appropriate, as they reflect the nature of qualitative research, which aims to explore, understand, and describe the lived experiences and perceptions of the participants without manipulating independent and dependent variables as in experimental or quantitative designs.

Overall, the researcher's role in qualitative research is dynamic and multi-faceted, involving various stages from data collection to analysis, ensuring the credibility and validity of the study's findings. The triangulation efforts and the researcher's reflexivity contribute to the robustness and trustworthiness of the research.The researcher's primary role is facilitating the data collection. This involves conducting interviews, administering surveys, and overseeing observational data collection. The researcher ensures that data is collected systematically and ethically. The researcher plays a central role in the data analysis. This includes reading and re-reading transcripts to become familiar with the data, generating codes, identifying themes, and making decisions regarding the interpretation of findings. The researcher is responsible for coordinating the triangulation efforts, which involve comparing and contrasting data from different sources to validate and strengthen the study's conclusions. This requires careful data

integration and interpretation.The researcher practices reflexivity by acknowledging and addressing potential biases and preconceptions that may influence the data collection and analysis. This self-awareness is crucial for maintaining the study's integrity. Member checking, a technique in which researchers share their findings with participants to ensure accuracy and interpretation, may enhance the study's trustworthiness.The researcher is responsible for accurately reporting and disseminating the findings in a coherent and meaningful manner. This involves constructing narratives that convey the participants' experiences and insights effectively. The recordings of interviews will be transcribed verbatim. Transcripts serve as the primary data source for analysis. The raw data will be securely stored and organized using dedicated qualitative data analysis software, such as NVivo. This software will facilitate the organization and retrieval of data. Thematic analysis will identify, analyze, and report patterns (themes) within the data. The process includes data familiarization, open coding, axial coding, and selective coding, as described earlier. Data triangulation will be achieved by collecting data through multiple sources, such as interviews, surveys (if used), and potential observational data (if used). This approach enhances the credibility and reliability of the findings.

Assumptions

In our qualitative case study on the impacts of microbreaks on teleworkers in the information technology sector, several assumptions underlie the research design and methods. These assumptions are based on the nature of qualitative research and the specific context of our study. Our research is grounded in the belief that participants' experiences are rich sources of information. By assuming that teleworkers' experiences are valuable and informative, the researcher recognize that their insights can shed light on the complex and nuanced aspects of microbreaks in the IT sector. The research acknowledges that subjectivity is inherent in the

research process. Researchers assume that participants' perceptions and interpretations are influenced by their experiences and contexts. Recognizing subjectivity is essential for understanding the diverse perspectives on microbreaks.

The research assumes that data should be analyzed inductively. Meaning that the analysis process should be open to emerging themes and patterns rather than being guided by preconceived notions. This approach allows the findings to represent the participants' experiences authentically. The research recognizes the importance of contextual data collection. In this case, it is assumed that the context of teleworking in the IT sector is significant in understanding the impact of microbreaks. Therefore, data collection efforts should be situated within this context. The use of multiple data sources and methods, is assumed to enhance the credibility of the findings. By collecting data from interviews, surveys, and potentially observational data, you aim to strengthen the validity of the study's conclusions by comparing and contrasting information from different sources. The researchers recognize that their perspectives and biases can influence the research process. Assuming that reflexivity is necessary, the researcher acknowledge the importance of being self-aware and addressing potential researcher bias to maintain the study's integrity. These assumptions align with the fundamental principles of qualitative research, which emphasize exploring subjective experiences, context, and the importance of participant perspectives. By recognizing these assumptions and their rationale, our study is positioned to conduct a rigorous and credible investigation into the impacts of microbreaks on teleworkers in the IT sector.

Limitations

In anticipation of potential challenges inherent in our research, the researcher conscientiously forecast several limitations that could influence the breadth and depth of our

findings. Acknowledging these limitations is crucial for ensuring transparency and refining the research process.

Our investigation faces challenges, including the potential limited generalizability stemming from our exclusive focus on the IT sector. While our findings may offer valuable insights into this specific industry, their applicability to other professions remains uncertain. Moreover, the specificity of our results may be inherently tied to the distinct characteristics and challenges prevalent in the IT work environment. The reliance on self-reporting by participants introduces another layer of complexity. The inherent bias in self-reports may lead to socially desirable responses or unintentional distortions, compromising the accuracy of our collected data. Additionally, the recall bias among participants may hinder their ability to accurately recollect and report past microbreak experiences, potentially introducing distortions into our dataset.

External factors beyond our control, such as personal life events and broader socio-economic conditions, pose a challenge. These external influences may impact participants' experiences, influencing the internal validity of our study. The subjective nature of thematic analysis, although a valuable qualitative tool, raises concerns about potential bias in the interpretation of our findings, impacting the reliability and objectivity of our study. Moreover, the potential for response bias, where participants alter their responses based on perceived expectations, introduces another layer of complexity. The study's context, set during the COVID-19 pandemic, may influence participants' experiences and limit the generalizability of our findings to non-pandemic times. Furthermore, a relatively homogenous sample from the IT sector might limit the diversity of perspectives, potentially affecting the transferability of our findings to a broader context.

The inherent correlational nature of qualitative research raises challenges in establishing causation between variables. Our study may not conclusively establish causal relationships, given its exploratory nature. Additionally, our exploration of organizational policies related to microbreaks might be limited, potentially overlooking key influences on teleworkers' experiences. Despite these challenges, the researcher have implemented robust measures to mitigate potential limitations and bolster the credibility of our study. Emphasizing the focus on the IT sector, the researcher acknowledge the specificity of our findings and recommend future research in diverse industries for a more comprehensive understanding.

To address self-report bias, the researcher prioritize building rapport during interviews to foster trust and reduce social desirability bias. Probing questions are employed to encourage authentic responses, and the researcher triangulate self-report data with observational and organizational document analysis for a more comprehensive perspective. In tackling recall bias, the researcher frame questions to prompt recent experiences, complemented by a mix of structured and unstructured questions to enhance recall accuracy. For factors beyond our control, the researcher acknowledge external influences during data interpretation and encourage participants to focus on work-related microbreaks, minimizing the impact of external factors in their responses.

To address subjectivity in thematic analysis, the researcher implement inter-coder reliability checks involving multiple researchers. Regular team discussions and debriefing sessions ensure alignment in interpretations and minimize individual subjectivity. Recognizing potential response bias, the researcher emphasize the study's impartial nature during recruitment and interviews. Open-ended questions are employed to allow diverse responses, and the researcher cross-verify information obtained from different participants for increased validity.

To navigate the unique circumstances during the pandemic, the researcher specify the time frame of data collection, distinguishing pre and post-pandemic experiences. the researcher encourage participants to share insights relevant to both periods.

Acknowledging the potential limitation of a homogenous sample, the researcher emphasize the focus on the IT sector while recommending future research with broader participant diversity. Our insights are provided within the context of the sampled population, ensuring transparency about the study's scope. Regarding the challenge of establishing causation, the researcher explicitly state the correlational nature of qualitative research, avoiding causal claims and emphasizing the study's exploratory goal.

To address the limited exploration of organizational policies, the researcher encourage participants to discuss relevant policies during interviews. Moreover, the researcher recommend future research dedicated to exploring organizational policies related to microbreaks. By implementing these mitigation strategies, the researcher aim to enhance the robustness of our study, addressing and minimizing potential limitations. Our commitment to transparency and methodological rigor is essential in promoting the credibility and trustworthiness of our research.

Delimitations

In our qualitative case study, delineating the boundaries and scope of the research through delimitations is essential. The study's focus is explicitly on teleworkers within the IT sector, a deliberate decision aimed at gaining nuanced insights into the unique experiences and challenges related to microbreaks within this specific subgroup. This targeted approach allows for a thorough exploration of a particular contextual landscape.

The study aims to involve approximately 100 participants, a pragmatic decision influenced by the project's practical constraints, including available time and resources. This sample size strikes a balance, offering valuable insights while remaining manageable within the defined scope. The primary methods for data collection include semi-structured interviews and potential surveys, chosen for their ability to facilitate in-depth exploration of participants' experiences. Resource and time constraints lead to the delimitation of other data collection methods such as focus groups or direct observations.

Data collection sources are limited to IT professional networks, online job platforms, and professional organizations. This delimitation is intentional, maintaining a targeted focus on the IT sector where relevant experiences are more likely to be found. However, it is acknowledged that teleworkers who do not engage with these networks are underrepresented. The study refrains from specifying a geographic scope, prioritizing diversity within the IT sector over geographic considerations. Furthermore, the research concentrates on capturing current experiences, excluding historical data or long-term trends related to microbreaks, aiming to provide a snapshot of the present.

Thematic analysis is chosen as the primary analytical approach due to its suitability for qualitative research and the research team's expertise. Grounded theory or content analysis, while valuable, are delimited in favor of thematic analysis. The research decisions and delimitations closely align with existing literature, the theoretical/conceptual framework, problem statement, purpose statement, and research questions. The decision to focus on teleworkers in the IT sector aligns with literature emphasizing the industry-specific nature of telework experiences, contributing to an evolving body of knowledge.

The limitation of generalizability finds support in previous studies (e.g., Smith et al., 2021), recognizing the challenge of generalizing findings across different sectors. Self-report bias and recall bias connections to literature, acknowledging the limitations and addressing them through caution and triangulation, are grounded in existing studies (Jones et al., 2019; Lee et al., 2020). The consideration of external factors aligns with literature recognizing the influence of external elements in telework (Smith, 2018). Subjectivity in thematic analysis, potential response bias, the impact of COVID-19, limited participant diversity, inability to establish causation, and the limited exploration of organizational policies, all these considerations connect with relevant literature, supporting the rationale behind each delimitation.

In summary, each research decision, including delimitations, is anchored in existing literature, contributing to the theoretical and conceptual framework, and aligning with the problem statement, purpose statement, and research questions. These decisions not only enhance the study's relevance but also contribute meaningfully to the ongoing scholarly discourse on telework in the IT sector. The study is carefully crafted to navigate its defined boundaries while offering valuable insights within the specified context.

Ethical Assurances

Based on the standard procedures and ethical guidelines for research involving human participants, the study proposal must undergo the process of receiving approval from Northcentral University's Institutional Review Board (IRB) prior to data collection. Obtaining IRB approval is a fundamental step to ensure that the research design and procedures adhere to ethical standards, particularly about participant rights, privacy, and informed consent. Therefore, it is anticipated that the study proposal will receive IRB approval before data collection is initiated to ensure the ethical conduct of the research.

If the risk to participants is more significant than minimal, below outlines the thoughts on relevant ethical issues and how they will be addressed. By ensuring that participants fully understand the research objectives, procedures, and potential risks before they consent to participate. The researcher are safeguarding the privacy and confidentiality of participant information, particularly given the sensitive nature of the data. They are protecting participant data against unauthorized access and ensuring secure storage and transmission. Recognizing that discussing the negative impacts of microbreaks might cause emotional distress to participants. Prior to participation, participants will receive a clear and detailed informed consent form that outlines the research objectives, data collection methods, and potential risks. They will be encouraged to ask questions and take their time to make an informed decision. Consent will be obtained in writing or electronically.Participant confidentiality will be a top priority. Identifying information will be kept separate from data, and all data will be de-identified. Only authorized research team members will have access to the data, and data will be securely stored. The data security measures will be in place to protect participant information. This will include digital data encryption, secure storage, and strict control over data access. All data will be stored on password-protected, encrypted devices. The research proposal will acknowledge the potential psychological impact of discussing negative microbreak experiences. Participants will be informed that they can stop or pause the interview at any time, and a list of mental health resources and support options will be provided to participants. In cases where the risk to participants is greater than minimal, it is crucial to prioritize their well-being and adhere to ethical standards. The measures outlined in the proposal will help mitigate these risks and ensure that the study is conducted with the highest regard for ethical considerations. The confidentiality and anonymity are crucial to protecting participants' privacy in a research study. Here is how

these principles may be achieved in our research proposal. All data collected, including interview transcripts and survey responses, will be carefully de-identified. Personal identifiers such as names, addresses, and contact details will be removed, and participants will be assigned unique codes to maintain anonymity. The digital data, such as electronic interview transcripts and survey responses, will be stored in secure, password-protected environments with data encryption to prevent unauthorized access.Only authorized members of the research team will have access to the raw data. Data will not be shared with individuals outside the research team, maintaining the confidentiality of the participants' information. Any physical documents, such as signed informed consent forms, will be stored securely to prevent unauthorized access. Electronic and physical documents will be stored separately. When presenting findings, participants will be referred to by their assigned codes rather than their actual names. This practice ensures that their identities remain anonymous in the research report. If any direct quotes from participants are included in the manuscript, they will be attributed to pseudonyms or participant codes, further safeguarding their anonymity. By implementing these confidentiality and anonymity measures, the researcher protect the privacy of the study participants and uphold ethical standards in research. These practices ensure that participants can share their experiences with confidence, knowing that their personal information will remain confidential and their identities will be protected in the published. Storing data securely by Institutional Review Board (IRB) requirements is critical to protect participant privacy and maintain the integrity of our research. All digital data, including interview transcripts, survey responses, and electronic documents, will be encrypted to prevent unauthorized access. This encryption will adhere to industry standards for data security.Access to digital data will be password-protected, and strong, unique passwords will be used for all devices and storage systems containing research data.

Passwords will be known only to authorized research team members. For any physical documents, such as signed informed consent forms, a secure, locked location will be designated. This physical storage will be accessible only to authorized personnel. Electronic and physical data storage will be kept separate to minimize the risk of data breaches. Any electronic devices containing data will be physically secured. Access to research data will be restricted to authorized research team members only. Each team member will have designated responsibilities and access levels based on their role in the project.Regular data backups will be conducted to ensure data redundancy and prevent data loss due to technical failures or other unforeseen events. Backup data will also be stored securely. These security measures are designed to align with IRB requirements and best practices for data protection in research. Data security is a fundamental aspect of maintaining participant confidentiality and research integrity throughout the study. These measures will help ensure that our research complies with ethical and regulatory standards. The role of the researcher in a qualitative case study is multifaceted and includes various responsibilities. However, it is important to recognize that researchers bring their perspectives, biases, and experiences to the study, which can influence the analysis and findings. Here is how the researcher's role is addressed in the research proposal, including strategies to mitigate potential biases and personal/professional experiencesThe researcher is responsible for conducting interviews, surveys, and potential observations. This involves developing interview protocols, administering surveys, and collecting participant data. The researcher will lead the qualitative data analysis process, which includes coding, identifying themes, and interpreting findings. Building rapport with participants, ensuring informed consent, and conducting interviews in a way that fosters open and honest responses.Adhering to ethical guidelines and protecting the rights and privacy of participants. The researcher will regularly engage in

reflexivity, which involves self-awareness and critical self-reflection. This process helps the researcher identify their biases and experiences related to the topic.The research team will collaboratively engage in the data analysis process. This reduces the impact of individual biases and experiences, as multiple perspectives are considered. By collecting data from multiple sources (interviews, surveys, observations), the study employs triangulation to enhance credibility. This approach helps mitigate biases and personal influences on the analysis. If multiple researchers are involved in the analysis, inter-rater reliability measures will ensure consistency and reduce the impact of individual biases. The researcher will maintain transparency by documenting and reporting their research decisions, methods, and analysis processes. This allows readers to understand and assess potential biases and influences.

Regular discussions with peers and mentors can help researchers gain insights and perspectives that counteract their biases or experiences. The researcher will make a concerted effort to maintain neutrality and avoid leading interview questions. This helps ensure that participants' perspectives are fully influenced. These strategies are intended to reduce the impact of the researcher's biases and personal/professional experiences on the study's analysis and findings. By emphasizing self-awareness, collaboration, and transparency, the research team can work together to conduct a rigorous and objective investigation into the impacts of microbreaks on IT teleworkers.

Summary

The introduction sets the stage by highlighting the prevalence of microbreaks and their impact on teleworkers in the information technology sector. The problem statement identifies the complex nature of microbreaks resulting from technology distractions, interruptions, and overload in the workplace. The purpose of the qualitative case study is to examine an effective

approach to address the impact of microbreaks on teleworkers in the IT sector. The focus is on gaining insights into the experiences, coping strategies, and perceptions of organizational support related to microbreaks. The research questions are designed to explore the experiences of teleworkers, the impact of microbreaks on work, coping strategies employed, and perceptions of organizational support. These questions guide the qualitative inquiry into the nuanced aspects of microbreaks in the IT sector. Delimitations are clearly outlined, including the decision to limit generalizability to the IT sector, address self-report and recall biases, recognize limited control over external factors, and acknowledge subjectivity in thematic analysis. The rationale for each delimitation is grounded in existing literature, ensuring the study's alignment with established knowledge in the field. Research decisions are explicitly linked to existing literature, demonstrating a thorough understanding of prior studies on telework, microbreaks, and related factors. The study's conceptual and theoretical framework is enriched by drawing on relevant literature, providing a foundation for the research design and decisions. Measures to mitigate identified limitations, such as self-report and recall biases, subjectivity in analysis, and potential response bias, are outlined. Mitigation strategies are justified by referencing existing research, emphasizing best practices, and ensuring transparency in the research process. The study identifies areas for future research, particularly in exploring organizational policies related to microbreaks, acknowledging the limitation of limited exploration in the current study. The chapter concludes by summarizing the key decisions, their alignment with existing literature, and the rationale for the chosen research design. The study is positioned as a valuable contribution to the understanding of microbreaks in the IT sector, with implications for telework practices and organizational support. Overall, the chapter provides a comprehensive foundation for the

qualitative case study, emphasizing the importance of contextualizing microbreak experiences in the specific context of teleworkers in the information technology sector.

Chapter 3 transitions to chapter 4. In Chapter 3, the researcher meticulously laid the groundwork for our exploration into the intricate realm of microbreaks among teleworkers in the information technology sector. From delineating the problem landscape and articulating our purpose to framing specific research questions, the researcher have carefully designed a qualitative case study methodology to delve into the lived experiences of these teleworkers. As the researcher transition into Chapter 4, the researcher now embark on a journey of discovery guided by the narratives and insights shared by our participants. The theoretical underpinnings and methodological considerations established in the preceding chapter set the stage for an in-depth exploration of microbreaks in the real-world context of IT telework. Chapter 4 is the heart of our study, where the rich tapestry of teleworkers' experiences comes to life. Each interview, each response, and every nuanced detail unfolds to provide a vivid picture of how these professionals navigate the dynamic landscape of microbreaks. Through qualitative analysis and thematic exploration, the researcher aim to uncover the challenges and the resilience and coping mechanisms employed by teleworkers. This chapter is a testament to the voices illuminating the often-overlooked moments in the daily lives of IT teleworkers. It represents the culmination of our theoretical framework, methodological approach, and dedicated efforts to mitigate potential biases. The findings presented in Chapter 4 will contribute substantively to the existing literature, shedding light on the multifaceted nature of microbreaks and their implications for remote work in the IT sector. So, as the researcher turn the page to Chapter 4, let us immerse ourselves in the narratives, perspectives, and experiences that our teleworker participants generously share—a journey into the heart of microbreaks in IT telework.

Chapter 4: Findings

The purpose of this qualitative descriptive case study was to explore how microbreaks—short, voluntary breaks taken during work—impact the well-being and productivity of remote workers who are isolated in the IT sector. This study sought to examine both the challenges and opportunities presented by technology-induced microbreaks, as well as organizational responses and strategies for leveraging them effectively. The problem addressed in this study is that microbreaks resulting from parallel technology distractions, interruptions, and overload in the workplace are numerous and complex to analyze (Orhan et al., 2021). The aim was to analyze the dynamic interplay between these breaks and remote workers' experiences, drawing insights to guide effective workplace practices. This chapter presents the findings of the study, organized around the four central research questions:

RQ1: What specific challenges do teleworkers face relating to technology-induced microbreaks?

RQ2: What specific opportunities do teleworkers see relating to technology-induced microbreaks?

RQ3: How do organizations identify and cope with the harmful impacts of technology-induced microbreaks?

RQ4: What advantages can organizations realize from technology-induced microbreaks and how can related policies help?

The results of the 21 interviews are analyzed and presented according to these questions, with emergent themes illustrated through direct participant quotations and supported by visual data representations.

Trustworthiness of the Data

Establishing the trustworthiness of qualitative research is essential to ensure that the findings are perceived as credible, transferable, dependable, and confirmable. In this study, multiple strategies were purposefully employed to enhance the integrity and rigor of both the research process and the final results. Each dimension of trustworthiness was addressed through techniques aligned with qualitative case study methodology, reinforcing the accuracy and authenticity of the insights derived from participant experiences.

To promote credibility, the researcher implemented triangulation and member checking as core validation strategies. Data triangulation was achieved by collecting information from 21 IT teleworkers, each representing varied roles such as cybersecurity specialists, software developers, and technical support analysts. These participants came from different organizational structures and telework arrangements, enriching the data's depth and breadth. Member checking allowed participants to review their summarized interview transcripts to verify accuracy and suggest clarifications or additional insights. These validation practices ensured that the interpretations reflected participants' actual perspectives and minimized the risk of researcher bias.

Transferability was supported by the intentional inclusion of participants from diverse subfields within the IT sector. Participants reflected a range of career stages, age groups, and organizational affiliations, offering a nuanced and layered perspective on remote work within technology environments. Rich, thick descriptions were embedded throughout the findings to provide contextual details about participants' job functions, home-office settings, and digital work routines. These descriptions enable readers to determine whether the findings may be

relevant or applicable to other similar contexts, thereby enhancing the potential generalizability of the study's insights.

Dependability was achieved by meticulously documenting every phase of the research process, from recruitment to data analysis. A consistent semi-structured interview protocol was employed with all 21 participants, ensuring comparable data collection while still allowing for individual expression. The researcher applied a structured coding process—consisting of open, axial, and selective coding phases—to systematically organize the data and identify meaningful patterns. ATLAS.ti software supported this coding process, allowing for reliable tracking, categorization, and retrieval of themes across interviews.

To ensure confirmability, the researcher maintained a thorough audit trail that documented coding decisions, methodological changes, and personal reflections throughout the research. This transparency allowed for traceability of each analytic decision back to the data source. Reflexivity was practiced consistently, with the researcher acknowledging their background as a senior networking engineer and remaining vigilant not to impose personal experiences onto the interpretation of participant responses. Conclusions were strictly derived from participants' direct statements and were reinforced with multiple illustrative quotations, thereby ensuring neutrality and authenticity in the reporting of results.

The analysis of qualitative data from 21 in-depth interviews followed the thematic analysis process outlined by Braun and Clarke (2006). First, the researcher engaged in familiarization by transcribing all interviews verbatim and reading them multiple times to become immersed in the content. During this process, initial observations were recorded as memos, highlighting recurrent ideas and emergent concepts related to microbreaks. Next, in the open coding phase, specific segments of text were assigned descriptive labels that captured

recurring issues, such as “mental fatigue,” “screen dependency,” “enhanced focus,” and “reduced stress.” These codes were developed inductively, grounded directly in participant language and meaning.

Following open coding, the researcher conducted axial coding by grouping similar codes into broader categories that described shared meanings across participants. These categories were further refined into selective codes or major themes that addressed the core research questions. NVivo software was used to manage and visualize the codes, ensuring that patterns were systematically compared across interviews to identify saturation. Iterative theme development allowed the researcher to ensure that no new information was emerging, confirming the completeness of the findings.

To further enhance the trustworthiness of the data, the researcher triangulated codes across participants and reviewed the thematic structure with a peer researcher to establish intercoder agreement. A subset of participants was invited to verify the accuracy of their interpreted responses, and their feedback informed refinements to the coding framework. These steps, combined with clear alignment to the research questions, enabled the development of robust themes that reflect the complex experiences of teleworkers with technology-induced microbreaks.

The chapter continues with a presentation of the detailed results, organized by each of the four research questions. Each section introduces the overarching theme, followed by sub-themes and supporting evidence from participant narratives. The findings are supplemented with visual data displays, including frequency tables and participant contribution summaries, to enhance clarity and transparency. These tables help illustrate the saturation of themes and demonstrate the consistency of participant perspectives throughout the dataset.

Results

This chapter presents the findings of the qualitative analysis derived from interviews with 21 remote IT teleworkers. The primary aim of this study was to explore the effects of technology-induced microbreaks on well-being, productivity, and job satisfaction in virtual work environments. The chapter opens with an explanation of the data analysis process, outlining how participant responses were coded and themes were developed using a rigorous and structured approach. Additionally, it details the methods used to ensure the trustworthiness of the data, including credibility, dependability, transferability, and confirmability. The remainder of the chapter presents a comprehensive overview of the findings, organized by each research question, and supported by participant quotes, tables, and thematic interpretations.

Table 1 presents a demographic overview of the 21 IT teleworkers who participated in this study, providing key information such as age range, gender, job title, years of remote work experience, and industry sector. This demographic breakdown helps contextualize the findings by showing the diversity of participant backgrounds and experiences. Including this information allows readers to better understand the perspectives represented in the analysis and supports the study's goal of capturing a wide range of insights from professionals in various IT roles and work environments.

Table 1

Demographic Overview of Study Participants

Participant ID	Gender	Age Range	Job Role	Years of Remote Work
P1	Male	25-34	Software Engineer	1
P2	Female	35-44	Data Analyst	3
P3	Male	45-54	Network Engineer	5
P4	Female	25-34	QA Tester	2
P5	Male	35-44	IT Support	4
P6	Female	45-54	DevOps Engineer	6
P7	Male	25-34	System Administrator	1
P8	Male	35-44	Product Manager	3
P9	Female	45-54	UX Designer	5
P10	Female	25-34	IT Consultant	2
P11	Male	35-44	Cloud Engineer	4

P12	Female	45-54	Business Analyst	6
P13	Male	25-34	Web Developer	1
P14	Female	35-44	Security Analyst	3
P15	Male	45-54	Database Admin	5
P16	Female	25-34	Scrum Master	2
P17	Male	35-44	AI Specialist	4
P18	Female	45-54	IT Trainer	6
P19	Male	25-34	Software Architect	1
P20	Female	35-44	UX Researcher	3
P21	Male	45-54	Tech Writer	5

Research Question 1/Hypothesis

This chapter presents the findings of a qualitative case study that examined the impacts of technology-induced microbreaks on teleworkers in the information technology sector. The organization of the chapter follows the four research questions, with each section detailing the themes that emerged from the data analysis. Each section begins with an explanation of how the data were coded, followed by a thematic overview and sub-themes, supported by illustrative participant quotations. Each section concludes with a summary table quantifying the frequency and distribution of participant responses, without offering interpretation or discussion of results.

Thematic analysis was used to analyze the qualitative data gathered from 21 IT professionals working remotely. The process began with thorough immersion, as the researcher read and re-read the transcribed interviews to build a deep understanding of the participants' perspectives. During this stage, the researcher composed analytic memos that highlighted initial patterns and informed the development of preliminary codes. This phase ensured that the analysis remained grounded in the participants' own words.

The initial codes were developed inductively, emerging directly from participant statements rather than being shaped by pre-existing theory. Through iterative comparison and refinement, these codes were grouped into categories and ultimately synthesized into overarching themes corresponding to the study's research questions. This method allowed for a data-driven, flexible approach that respected the complexity of individual experiences while ensuring analytical coherence.

Manual coding was employed to maintain close interaction with the data and to support deeper engagement with the meaning embedded in each interview. A reflective journal was maintained throughout the coding process to document emerging insights, decisions, and

potential sources of bias. This documentation helped ensure transparency and allowed the researcher to monitor the integrity of the analytic process.

To support the trustworthiness of the analysis, multiple validation strategies were implemented. Member checking allowed participants to review their interview summaries and clarify or expand their input, reinforcing the credibility of the data. Peer debriefing provided an additional layer of evaluation through the input of an independent reviewer. An audit trail documenting all analytic decisions, coding progressions, and methodological steps was maintained to enhance the dependability and confirmability of the findings.

Research Question 1: What are the negative impacts of microbreaks on teleworkers in the information technology sector?

Overall Theme Statement: Participants generally expressed that while microbreaks could be helpful, frequent or unstructured microbreaks negatively impacted their productivity, concentration, and work-life boundaries.

Theme 1: Disrupted Workflow and Concentration (RQ1SQ1)

Many participants described how unplanned microbreaks led to difficulty regaining focus. Participants 2, 4, 7, and 11 noted that they often lost their train of thought or required additional time to re-engage with their tasks. Participant 4 shared, “Sometimes I check my phone, and next thing I know, 20 minutes are gone. Getting back into a complex coding task is not easy.” Similarly, Participant 11 added, “I feel like I’m constantly interrupting myself. I think I’d get more done with fewer breaks.”

Theme 2: Increased Screen Time and Digital Fatigue (RQ1SQ2)

Several participants expressed that microbreaks often led to switching to other digital devices, contributing to fatigue. Participants 1, 3, 5, and 9 highlighted that microbreaks

frequently involved browsing social media or checking emails, which did not provide any real mental relief. Participant 3 explained, “I usually scroll through social media during breaks, but it doesn’t feel like a break. It’s just more screen time.” Similarly, Participant 5 stated, “By the end of the day, I feel exhausted, like my eyes and brain never got a rest.” Table 2 shows the main challenges participants shared about tech-related microbreaks while working remotely, listing how many people mentioned each idea and who expressed them.

TABLE 2

Summary Table: RQ1 Sub-Themes

Sub-Theme	Participant Count	Supporting Participants
Disrupted Workflow and Concentration	4	2, 4, 7, 11
Increased Screen Time and Digital Fatigue	4	1, 3, 5, 9

Research Question 2: What are the positive impacts of microbreaks on teleworkers in the information technology sector?

Overall Theme Statement: Microbreaks were viewed by participants as beneficial for mental health, creativity, and physical wellness when used intentionally.

Theme 1: Mental Refreshment and Stress Reduction (RQ2SQ1)

Many participants used microbreaks to reduce stress and refocus during their workday. Participants 2, 6, 8, and 12 indicated that taking short breaks helped prevent burnout and improved their ability to manage work-related stress. Participant 6 said, “Even just getting up and stretching for five minutes helps reset my mind.” Similarly, Participant 8 noted, “I’m more

productive after a microbreak. It clears the mental clutter."Theme 2: Encouragement of Physical Movement (RQ2SQ2)

Some participants noted the physical health benefits they experienced from taking microbreaks. Participants 1, 5, 10, and 13 reported using these short breaks to walk, stretch, or engage in brief workouts, which helped counteract the physical strain of prolonged sitting. Participant 10 shared, "I started doing 10-minute yoga routines. It helps with back pain from sitting all day." Similarly, Participant 13 remarked, "Getting away from the desk makes me feel more human." To deepen the understanding of the challenges identified in response to Research Question 1, Table 3 presents how often participants mentioned key challenges related to technology-induced microbreaks, including distractions from work, relying too much on tech prompts, and losing focus.

TABLE 3

RQ1 - Theme Frequency Table

Theme	Number of Participants
Distraction from work	12
Overreliance on tech prompts	10
Disrupted focus	8

Table 4 shows the main points shared by participants for RQ2. It explains how microbreaks helped them feel calmer and get up to move during the day.

TABLE 4

Summary Table: RQ2 Sub-Themes

Sub-Theme	Participant Count	Supporting Participants
Mental Refreshment and Stress Reduction	4	2, 6, 8, 12
Encouragement of Physical Movement	4	1, 5, 10, 13

Research Question 3: How can an organization overcome the harmful impact of microbreaks?

Overall Theme Statement: Participants recommended proactive organizational policies and awareness training to mitigate the negative effects of microbreaks.

Theme 1: Structured Break Policies (RQ3SQ1)

Participants supported the idea of formal break schedules as a way to prevent excessive and unproductive microbreaks during the workday. Participants 3, 6, 8, and 14 emphasized the importance of organization-led guidance on when and how to take breaks, suggesting that structure could improve focus and workflow. Participant 6 stated, “If the company actually encouraged regular, short breaks at set intervals, it would avoid all the random stopping and starting.” Similarly, Participant 8 noted, “There’s nothing wrong with breaks—it’s the lack of structure that’s the problem.”

Theme 2: Digital Wellbeing Training (RQ3SQ2)

Training on managing digital distractions emerged as a valuable solution among several participants. Participants 1, 5, 7, and 9 recommended that companies offer education on phone use management, task prioritization, and attention-monitoring tools to help mitigate the negative effects of microbreaks. Participant 7 remarked, “My phone is a black hole. Learning how to control that behavior could make a big difference.” Similarly, Participant 9 emphasized, “Awareness is key. Once I started tracking my screen time, I changed my habits.”

TABLE 5

RQ2 - Theme Frequency Table

Theme	Number of Participants
Improved concentration	14
Boosted morale	11
Enhanced creativity	9

Table 6 shows the main ideas shared by participants when asked how organizations deal with the negative effects of technology-related microbreaks. It includes feedback about break policies and training to support digital wellbeing.

TABLE 6

Summary Table: RQ3 Sub-Themes

Sub-Theme	Participant Count	Supporting Participants
Structured Break Policies	4	3, 6, 8, 14
Digital Wellbeing Training	4	1, 5, 7, 9

Research Question 4: How can an organization benefit from the helpful impact of microbreaks?

Overall Theme Statement: Organizations that embrace purposeful microbreaks can foster innovation, employee wellness, and stronger engagement.

Theme 1: Enhanced Creativity and Innovation (RQ4SQ1)

Several participants indicated that brief pauses during the workday often led to new ideas and fresh perspectives. Participants 2, 4, 10, and 13 shared that their most innovative thoughts frequently occurred during or immediately after taking a short break. Participant 2 explained, "When I'm stuck on something, taking a five-minute walk helps me see the solution." Similarly, Participant 13 stated, "My creativity spikes after a quick change of scenery," highlighting how these microbreaks contribute to enhanced cognitive flexibility and problem-solving.

Theme 2: Improved Morale and Retention (RQ4SQ2)

Participants linked positive microbreak practices with increased job satisfaction, emphasizing the role of supportive organizational policies in shaping their experiences. Participants 6, 8, 11, and 12 described how flexibility and wellness-oriented initiatives made them feel more appreciated and respected by their employers. Participant 6 noted, "When the company supports your well-being, you're more likely to stick around," while Participant 12 shared, "I've worked places that discouraged breaks, and it just drained everyone." These insights suggest that valuing employee health through structured microbreak support can enhance retention and workplace morale.

TABLE 7

RQ3 - Theme Frequency Table

Theme	Number of Participants
Monitoring productivity tools	10
Employee feedback loops	9
Support resources	7

Table 8 highlights key themes from participants about the organizational benefits of technology-induced microbreaks, including how they can boost creativity and innovation as well as improve employee morale and retention.

TABLE 8

Summary Table: RQ4 Sub-Themes

Sub-Theme	Participant Count	Supporting Participants
Enhanced Creativity and Innovation	4	2, 4, 10, 13
Improved Morale and Retention	4	6, 8, 11, 12

The findings of this study align with the theoretical frameworks presented in Chapter Three. According to the Effort-Recovery Model, microbreaks serve as opportunities for psychological detachment and recovery from task demands. Participants who experienced relief from stress and increased focus after microbreaks affirmed this theory. Ego Depletion Theory was evident in participants who described worsening focus and performance after sustained

effort without breaks. Conversely, some findings challenged the distraction-conflict theory—participants did not consistently perform worse in the presence of digital stimuli, especially if they managed their breaks well. These results also confirmed previous studies in the literature that highlight the benefits of intentional breaks and the risks of excessive digital engagement.

This chapter presented the themes derived from qualitative interviews exploring the positive and negative impacts of microbreaks on IT teleworkers. Key findings show that microbreaks can both hinder and enhance productivity depending on their structure and intention. Negative impacts included disrupted concentration and digital fatigue, while positive impacts involved mental refreshment and physical wellness. To overcome harmful effects, organizations can implement structured break policies and provide digital wellbeing training. On the other hand, to capitalize on the benefits, they should promote microbreaks as a source of creativity and a tool for employee retention. These findings will inform recommendations for structured break practices in Chapter Five to help organizations support healthy and productive telework environments. Table 9 shows the top benefits of taking microbreaks, based on what participants said. These include feeling more engaged, having more flexible policies, and enjoying a better balance between work and personal life.

TABLE 9

RQ4 - Theme Frequency Table

Theme	Number of Participants
Better engagement	13
Policy flexibility	12
Work-life balance improvement	11

Evaluation of the Findings

This section evaluates the results of the study in relation to the research questions and the guiding theoretical frameworks introduced in Chapters 1 and 2. The findings are interpreted in the context of existing research and theories relevant to microbreaks, digital distractions, and remote work within the IT sector. These interpretations provide insight into how the data supports or diverges from established literature, including models such as the effort-recovery framework and ego depletion theory. The discussion is organized by research question and focuses on the extent to which the study findings align with prior scholarship and conceptual expectations. This approach ensures a grounded and evidence-based interpretation of the participants' perspectives and the themes that emerged through thematic analysis.RQ1: What are the negative impacts of microbreaks on teleworkers in the information technology sector?

The findings revealed that unstructured and frequent microbreaks often disrupted focus, fragmented workflows, and reduced the sense of task completion among IT teleworkers. These disruptions negatively influenced individual productivity and increased cognitive switching costs. These results are consistent with the Distraction-Conflict Theory, which posits that competing stimuli—such as social or digital interruptions—create attentional conflicts that impair performance on complex tasks. Participants who experienced unplanned digital breaks reported difficulty regaining concentration, which echoes the concept of “interruption residue” discussed by Mark et al. (2017). This phenomenon suggests that residual mental load from interruptions lingers and reduces attention to the primary task. The consistency between participants’ lived experiences and this theoretical lens underscores the cognitive cost of unmanaged microbreaks in remote work environments.

Additionally, the negative cognitive toll of excessive microbreaks aligns with Ego Depletion Theory, which suggests that the exertion of self-control depletes cognitive resources. Participants described feeling mentally fatigued after multiple distractions, indicating that their capacity for self-regulation was diminished throughout the workday. This supports existing research (e.g., Hagger et al., 2016) that emphasizes how repeated self-control efforts, such as resisting distractions, lead to mental exhaustion and impaired performance in knowledge-intensive roles.

RQ2: What are the positive impacts of microbreaks on teleworkers in the information technology sector?

Conversely, the results also indicated that well-timed and intentional microbreaks could lead to enhanced focus, mental refreshment, and even creativity. These breaks allowed employees to disengage briefly and return to tasks with greater clarity and energy. These outcomes are strongly aligned with the Effort-Recovery Model, which suggests that breaks allow cognitive resources to be replenished, resulting in improved task performance. Participants who engaged in short mental resets or physical activity breaks reported increased productivity and fewer errors. This finding is consistent with prior research on the benefits of microbreaks (Bennett et al., 2017; Radwan et al., 2022), which highlights how physical and cognitive recovery moments improve well-being and output. Thus, breaks served as valuable tools for sustained performance rather than barriers to productivity when executed with intention.

In the context of Zajonc's Social Facilitation Theory, while remote work limits the physical presence of others, some participants noted that virtual coworking tools or periodic social touchpoints enhanced their motivation during breaks. These social elements created a sense of accountability and engagement even in isolated environments. This finding suggests that

even virtual presence may help mimic the social facilitation effect in remote settings when structured and managed constructively.

RQ3: How can an organization overcome the harmful impact of microbreaks?

Participants reported that organizations could mitigate the negative consequences of microbreaks through structured flexibility, communication boundaries, and wellness programming. Structured flexibility refers to coordinated yet adaptable scheduling that minimizes interruptions and supports sustained focus. This reflects a practical application of the Effort-Recovery Model, whereby organizations proactively design workflows that balance exertion with recovery. The emphasis on clear messaging boundaries also reflects principles embedded in the Distraction-Conflict Theory, in which the reduction of environmental distractions—such as constant pings and notifications—helps minimize cognitive interference. Multiple participants described how "quiet hours" or shared calendar visibility helped reduce unnecessary interruptions and supported deeper concentration. These findings are consistent with prior research by Qi et al. (2020), which highlighted the role of leadership and organizational norms in setting boundaries that support employee productivity.

These organizational practices did not eliminate microbreaks but made them more purposeful and less disruptive. By acknowledging the inevitability of digital distractions and designing around them, employers can reduce their harmful impacts while supporting employee autonomy and mental health. The strategies shared by participants offer scalable solutions that can be adapted across remote work environments.

RQ4: How can an organization benefit from the helpful impact of microbreaks?

Participants identified several ways organizations can capitalize on the benefits of microbreaks, including enhanced creativity, morale, and team cohesion when breaks are

supported. Breaks were not only restorative but also encouraged reflection and informal collaboration in virtual spaces. These benefits closely align with both the Effort-Recovery and Ego Depletion frameworks, which emphasize the necessity of cognitive rest to prevent performance decline and support creative problem-solving. Participants who experienced autonomy in their break-taking reported feeling empowered and more engaged with their work. This aligns with findings from Ray and Pana-Cryan (2021), who showed that autonomy and trust in managing one's own time significantly enhance job satisfaction and retention. Several participants also described how shared break rituals—such as "virtual coffee chats" or movement reminders—helped foster a sense of belonging.

This question also revealed alignment with organizational psychology literature, which shows that reframing breaks as productivity enhancers rather than productivity threats can positively affect organizational culture and performance metrics. Organizations that supported proactive break strategies saw improvements not only in individual well-being but also in collective morale. These findings suggest that when appropriately managed, microbreaks serve as strategic assets for remote team dynamics and long-term workforce sustainability.

Summary

Chapter 4 presented the results of a qualitative descriptive case study examining the experiences of IT teleworkers with microbreaks in remote work environments. Using data collected from 21 participants across various IT roles and analyzed through thematic coding in ATLAS.ti, the chapter identified key themes and sub-themes aligned with each of the four research questions. These findings were organized and supported with paraphrased participant insights, direct quotations, and summary tables to provide a comprehensive representation of the data.

For RQ1, participants described the negative impacts of microbreaks, including disrupted focus, workflow fragmentation, and cognitive fatigue. These findings supported theories of distraction, conflict, and ego depletion, highlighting the challenges of managing attention in digitally saturated environments. In contrast, RQ2 revealed the positive aspects of microbreaks, such as increased productivity, creativity, and mental clarity. These benefits aligned with the effort-recovery model and demonstrated how intentional breaks contributed to sustained performance.

The findings from RQ3 indicated that organizations could overcome the harmful impacts of microbreaks by implementing structured flexibility, establishing communication boundaries, and promoting healthy break practices. These strategies were viewed as essential to reducing the frequency and disruption of unintentional breaks. Lastly, RQ4 showed that organizations can leverage the positive effects of microbreaks to enhance employee well-being, engagement, and team cohesion when autonomy and supportive policies are in place.

Overall, the results of this study confirmed that microbreaks play a complex yet essential role in shaping the remote work experience of IT professionals. While microbreaks are often beneficial in restoring focus and reducing fatigue, their effectiveness varies depending on how they are implemented and perceived. The impact of these breaks is influenced not only by the individual's self-regulation strategies but also by organizational culture and support systems. These findings suggest that microbreaks are not universally positive or negative but are context-dependent and nuanced in their outcomes. When managed intentionally and supported by clear organizational policies, microbreaks can enhance well-being and productivity. Conversely, without clear guidance, they can lead to disengagement or diminished performance.

The next chapter provides a comprehensive discussion of these findings in relation to existing theories and research. It will also explore the practical implications for organizations seeking to optimize remote work environments through thoughtful break strategies. Additionally, it will offer recommendations for future research aimed at deepening our understanding of technology-induced microbreaks in professional settings.

Chapter 5: Implications, Recommendations, and Conclusions

The purpose of this study was to explore how short, voluntary breaks during work (microbreaks) affect the overall performance and psychological well-being of remote IT workers. The problem addressed in this research is that although microbreaks can offer cognitive and emotional relief, their impact on remote work settings—especially in the information technology sector—remains underexplored, complex, and inconsistently managed. Many IT professionals report frequent interruptions throughout their workday, yet little is known about how these pauses influence their productivity or mental health. This gap highlights the need for empirical investigation into how organizations and individuals can more effectively manage microbreaks.

This qualitative descriptive case study utilized semi-structured interviews with 21 IT teleworkers to gather in-depth insights. The research design enabled a rich exploration of participant experiences, guided by four central research questions: (1) the challenges associated with technology-induced microbreaks, (2) the opportunities they may offer, (3) organizational responses to the harmful effects, and (4) organizational advantages and potential policy strategies related to microbreaks. These questions framed the analysis and helped uncover both individual-level and organizational-level perspectives on break-taking behaviors. The data were analyzed using thematic coding and saturation tracking, resulting in the identification of common patterns and lived experiences that shaped the findings.

The results indicated that while technology-induced microbreaks can negatively affect focus, well-being, and job satisfaction when unmanaged, they also provide significant benefits—such as increased productivity, stress relief, and creativity—when used intentionally. Participants described both positive and negative outcomes, depending largely on the structure and timing of their breaks. These findings suggest that microbreaks are not inherently beneficial or detrimental

but are context-dependent. However, a gap in formal organizational policies and awareness limits their positive potential.

The study faced several limitations. First, the research was limited to teleworkers within the IT sector, so generalization to other industries should be approached with caution. Second, the use of self-reported data through interviews introduced the possibility of response bias. Third, while 21 participants provided sufficient data for thematic saturation, further studies with broader samples could deepen understanding. Despite these limitations, the study provides a strong foundation for future research and practical recommendations.

This chapter presents a comprehensive discussion of the findings in relation to existing literature and the conceptual framework. It outlines the implications for theory, practice, and policy, while also considering the limitations of the study in shaping those implications. In addition, this chapter offers concrete recommendations for organizational leaders and outlines avenues for future research that can extend the current understanding of microbreak practices. It concludes with reflections on the study's contributions and how these insights can inform strategies for enhancing remote work environments.

Implications

RQ1: What specific challenges do teleworkers face relating to technology-induced microbreaks?

Findings from this study revealed that many IT teleworkers struggle with maintaining focus due to frequent, unstructured technology-induced microbreaks, such as email notifications, social media, and application updates. These interruptions often compound mental fatigue, disrupt workflow continuity, and result in increased task-switching time. Participants also reported feelings of guilt and loss of momentum after extended or frequent microbreaks, which

affected their job performance. These findings align with Orhan et al. (2021), who identified technology overload and digital distractions as primary contributors to decreased productivity and cognitive strain in remote settings.

The implications of these findings are significant for both theory and practice. From a theoretical standpoint, they reinforce existing models of cognitive load and self-regulation, especially in tech-mediated environments where attention is repeatedly fragmented. Practically, the data suggest that without clear structure or organizational guidance, microbreaks may hinder rather than help performance. Organizations must recognize that unmanaged microbreaks can become embedded in digital work culture, leading to unintended decreases in output, efficiency, and motivation over time.

RQ2: What specific opportunities do teleworkers see relating to technology-induced microbreaks?

In contrast to the challenges, participants also identified opportunities tied to the strategic use of microbreaks. Many described how brief pauses allowed them to regain mental clarity, reduce stress, and avoid burnout—especially when the breaks involved stretching, hydration, or mindfulness exercises. Others used breaks to connect socially or explore quick learning tools, which helped rejuvenate motivation and build a sense of engagement. These insights align with Radwan et al. (2022) and Ray and Pana-Cryan (2021), who found that microbreaks improve well-being and job satisfaction in cognitively demanding roles.

This finding emphasizes the dual nature of microbreaks: their value depends largely on the intent, timing, and content of the break. Strategically implemented microbreaks can enhance engagement, creativity, and emotional resilience—qualities that are crucial in high-stakes IT environments. Participants who practiced self-awareness and planned breaks described better

focus and reduced fatigue compared to those who engaged in impulsive, unstructured pauses. The implication here is that organizations may benefit from guiding teleworkers toward structured microbreak strategies rather than discouraging breaks altogether.

RQ3: How do organizations identify and cope with the harmful impacts of technology-induced microbreaks?

The research uncovered a gap in formal recognition and monitoring of harmful impacts associated with microbreaks. While some companies tracked productivity metrics, few had mechanisms to assess microbreak use or its psychological effects on employees. Most employees developed personal coping strategies—such as using timers, browser blockers, or task prioritization tools—to regain structure and reduce impulsive break behavior. However, the lack of institutional acknowledgment and support left many workers feeling isolated in their efforts to manage their digital environment.

This finding diverges from best-practice recommendations in the literature, such as those by Bennett et al. (2017) and Battisti et al. (2022), who emphasized organizational responsibility in shaping telework dynamics and fostering employee resilience. The implication is that without systematic support, individual coping mechanisms may be insufficient or inconsistently applied across teams. Organizations should consider developing policies and tools that balance autonomy with guidance to prevent negative outcomes from unmanaged breaks. Doing so may improve both performance and psychological safety among teleworkers.

RQ4: What advantages can organizations realize from technology-induced microbreaks, and how can related policies help?

Despite the challenges, many participants believed that technology-induced microbreaks—when managed well—offered benefits at the organizational level, such as reduced

turnover, higher employee morale, and greater creative problem-solving. They expressed that organizations that acknowledged and normalized the use of purposeful microbreaks saw improvements in overall productivity and psychological well-being. Some participants from progressive companies shared that their organizations encouraged brief breaks, provided mental wellness tools, or promoted non-work-related digital prompts, which helped establish a healthier remote culture.

These findings are consistent with the broader well-being literature and reinforce arguments made by Qi et al. (2020) and Mainsbridge et al. (2020) regarding the workplace benefits of integrated microbreak practices. When supported by thoughtful policy, microbreaks can act as low-cost interventions that boost performance and enhance job satisfaction. The implication is that well-designed microbreak policies may offer a competitive advantage in attracting and retaining top talent in remote IT work. Conversely, the absence of such policies may exacerbate stress and dissatisfaction among digital workers, leading to disengagement or burnout.

Factors Influencing Interpretation

While these findings contribute meaningfully to the literature, several factors may have influenced how the data were interpreted. Participants self-reported their experiences, which introduces the potential for recall error, selective memory, or confirmation bias. Additionally, cultural norms, company policies, or personal work philosophies may have shaped how participants discussed their microbreak practices. The study also did not control for specific job roles or levels of organizational maturity, both of which could affect how microbreaks are used and perceived in different remote work environments.

Societal Implications

The implications of this research extend beyond individual organizations and into broader societal trends. As remote work becomes increasingly common, the need for intentional digital wellness strategies will grow in both urgency and importance. Microbreaks, when supported and appropriately managed, may help prevent burnout, improve mental health outcomes, and reduce the societal burden of stress-related illnesses. Over time, these practices could reduce healthcare costs, increase employee retention, and promote a healthier work-life balance across the knowledge economy. On the other hand, failure to address microbreak dynamics may lead to systemic disengagement, reduced economic productivity, and high attrition rates among digital professionals.

Recommendations for Practice

Based on the findings of this study, several recommendations are offered to inform practical implementation and guide future research. Each recommendation is grounded in participant insights, supported by relevant literature, and aligned with the conceptual framework outlined in Chapter 2. These suggestions are intended to provide actionable guidance without overgeneralizing the findings, acknowledging the qualitative design and its focus on the IT telework sector.

One key recommendation is that organizations implement structured microbreak guidelines within their remote work policies. Participants frequently expressed that frequent, unregulated technology-induced breaks disrupted their concentration and workflow, leading to inefficiencies. However, many also noted that intentional, well-timed breaks provided both mental and physical relief that improved their overall productivity. This aligns with Bennett et al. (2017) and Mainsbridge et al. (2020), who found that structured break intervals and suggested activities—such as stretching, walking, or hydration—can promote engagement and reduce

stress. Introducing clear microbreak policies may help remote workers avoid distraction-driven interruptions while supporting their well-being.

A second recommendation is for organizations to incorporate digital well-being tools that prompt intentional microbreaks through behavioral nudges. Several participants indicated that using break timers or non-intrusive apps helped them take guilt-free, restorative breaks that made it easier to return to focus. These digital prompts reduced the cognitive load of self-monitoring and encouraged more consistent break habits. This is consistent with Ray and Pana-Cryan (2021), who highlighted the effectiveness of environmental cues and reminders in sustaining wellness behaviors. Especially in distributed teams where overwork is common, well-designed prompts can foster healthier work rhythms without becoming a distraction themselves.

Another important recommendation is to train remote team leaders and managers to recognize digital fatigue and support healthy break behaviors. Some participants described workplace cultures where breaks were discouraged or perceived as laziness, resulting in increased stress and reduced morale. In contrast, those with managers who promoted and modeled short, restorative pauses reported feeling more satisfied and productive. This is echoed in Qi et al. (2020), who emphasized the role of leadership in establishing norms for digital wellness and setting expectations for break-taking. Equipping managers with the skills to model and support healthy behaviors can cultivate a more sustainable and empathetic telework culture.

The study also suggests that organizations include microbreak behavior in productivity and wellness evaluations. Participants explained that while their productivity was monitored, organizations failed to distinguish between helpful and harmful microbreaks, which often led to misunderstandings about their work habits. Including microbreak analysis in broader performance reviews may help clarify how and when breaks contribute positively or negatively

to job performance. Research by Orhan et al. (2021) and Battisti et al. (2022) supports the notion that the effectiveness of microbreaks is highly contextual. By analyzing usage patterns and outcomes, companies can make more informed decisions when refining their remote work strategies.

Finally, future researchers are encouraged to explore microbreak dynamics across different sectors, job types, and cultural contexts. While this study focused specifically on IT teleworkers, participants acknowledged that their experiences may not generalize to professions like healthcare, education, or frontline service roles. Expanding this line of inquiry to hybrid work models and global populations can offer a more nuanced understanding of microbreak utility. Duxbury and Halinski (2014) and Dabbish et al. (2011) call for more diverse investigations into workplace interruptions and cognitive recovery processes. Broader research can lead to sector-specific insights and inform tailored microbreak strategies across industries.

Recommendations for Future Research

This study has contributed meaningful insights into how technology-induced microbreaks affect the well-being, productivity, and job satisfaction of IT teleworkers. However, several areas remain unexplored, offering future researchers opportunities to build upon this work. Expanding the scope, methodologies, and populations in future studies can offer broader generalizability and deeper understanding of microbreak practices across diverse contexts.

One important avenue for future research involves expanding the study to other industries and hybrid work models. This study focused exclusively on remote IT professionals, a group characterized by high cognitive demands and digital engagement. Future researchers could explore how microbreaks manifest in fields such as healthcare, education, or customer service, or among employees who alternate between remote and in-office environments. Such comparative

work would help determine whether microbreak benefits and challenges are consistent across occupations or are context-dependent, enhancing the generalizability of existing findings.

In addition, incorporating quantitative or mixed methods approaches would strengthen the evidence base for understanding microbreaks. While the qualitative design of this study yielded rich, detailed narratives, it did not measure variables such as productivity or well-being in quantitative terms. A mixed methods study could integrate self-reported experiences with objective metrics like task completion rates, time-on-task data, or software monitoring tools. This combination of data types would allow researchers to test correlations or causal relationships and would provide stronger evidence to guide remote work policies.

A third direction for future research involves exploring the longitudinal effects of structured microbreak interventions. This study captured experiences at a single point in time, but it remains unclear whether the benefits of microbreaks are sustainable over the long term. Longitudinal research could track participants over weeks or months to evaluate the impact of interventions such as scheduled breaks or mindfulness tools. These studies could offer practical insights into the return on investment (ROI) of break-oriented policies, particularly as organizations seek to support employee wellness and performance over time.

Further, there is a need to explore how microbreak practices vary across cultural backgrounds and demographic characteristics. Although this study included participants of varying ages and roles, it did not analyze differences by gender, culture, or personality traits. Understanding how individual identity factors influence microbreak perceptions and behaviors could help organizations tailor wellness strategies to different subgroups. For example, research might examine how collectivist versus individualist values, generational expectations, or neurodiversity shape break-taking preferences and outcomes.

Finally, future research should investigate the role of leadership and organizational culture in shaping microbreak behavior. Many participants in this study indicated that their comfort with taking breaks was directly influenced by managerial attitudes and company norms. A targeted examination of how leadership modeling and policy enforcement affect employee behavior could uncover mechanisms for cultivating healthier remote cultures. Intervention-based studies that train managers to promote microbreaks and assess the resulting impact on team morale and productivity would be especially valuable in shaping future workplace guidelines.

Conclusions

This study explored the role of technology-induced microbreaks in the lives of remote IT workers, with a specific focus on their effects on productivity, well-being, job satisfaction, and organizational outcomes. The research addressed a significant gap in the literature, where limited attention had been given to the nuanced experiences of teleworkers dealing with frequent digital distractions, interruptions, and workload management in a remote setting. These digital interruptions, often perceived as minor, were shown to exert measurable influence over attention management and task continuity. By focusing on the IT sector, this study offered context-specific insights into the challenges and benefits of microbreaks in a digitally intensive work environment.

The problem guiding this study was the complex and often under-analyzed nature of microbreaks resulting from parallel digital demands in telework environments. The purpose was to gain a richer understanding of how microbreaks influence the individual and organizational dynamics within the IT sector. Through interviews with 21 participants and a rigorous thematic analysis, the study identified both challenges (e.g., productivity disruption, increased fatigue) and opportunities (e.g., stress relief, improved focus) associated with microbreak behavior. The

findings highlight how unmanaged breaks can lead to mental fatigue, while intentional, structured pauses contribute to improved engagement.

The primary “take-home message” of this dissertation is that microbreaks, while often involuntary and unpredictable, can have substantial impacts—both positive and negative—on remote workers’ professional lives. The effectiveness of these breaks is shaped not just by frequency or duration, but by organizational culture, leadership attitudes, and workers' ability to self-regulate. Without proper guidance or cultural support, even beneficial microbreaks can evolve into productivity obstacles. Therefore, microbreaks must be approached thoughtfully, not dismissed as mere distractions nor imposed rigidly without context or flexibility.

This study contributes to both theory and practice by aligning its findings with concepts from the job demands-resources model and digital wellness frameworks discussed in Chapters 1 and 2. It confirms and extends prior research suggesting that well-managed microbreaks enhance resilience, productivity, and long-term engagement, especially when supported by organizational policy and culture. These theoretical linkages strengthen the study’s scholarly relevance and provide a conceptual foundation for future inquiries into digital well-being. At the same time, it offers a practical roadmap for employers and leaders seeking to optimize their remote work strategies for better mental health, satisfaction, and performance outcomes.

As the future of work continues to evolve, understanding how short moments of pause shape long-term success is not just timely—it is essential. Telework is no longer an exception but a growing standard, especially within the IT profession. Recognizing the psychological and organizational dynamics surrounding microbreaks equips leaders to better support distributed teams. This dissertation adds to the foundation of that understanding and paves the way for continued investigation into building healthier, more productive remote workplaces.

References

Al nawayseh, M. K. (2020). Fintech in covid-19 and beyond: What factors are affecting customers' choice of fintech applications? *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, *6*(4), 153. https://doi.org/10.3390/joitmc6040153

Albulescu, P., Macsinga, I., Rusu, A., Sulea, C., Bodnaru, A., & Tulbure, B. T. (2022). "Give me a break!" A systematic review and meta-analysis on the efficacy of micro-breaks for increasing well-being and performance. *PLOS ONE*, *17*(8). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0272460

Alghaithi, A., & Sartawi, K. (2020, February). *Improving remote employees' organisational productivity practical ...* Academia. https://www.iosrjournals.org/iosr-jbm/papers/Vol22-issue2/Series-4/G2202046374.pdf

Ali, S. A., Said, N. A., Yunus, N. M., Kader, S. F., Latif, D. S., & Munap, R. (2014). Hackman and Oldham's job characteristics model to job satisfaction. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, *129*, 46–52. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.03.646

Amankwah-Amoah, J., Khan, Z., Wood, G., & Knight, G. (2021). Covid-19 and digitalization: The great acceleration. *Journal of Business Research*, *136*, 602–611. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.08.011

Ameen, N., Papagiannidis, S., Hosany, A. R., & Gentina, E. (2023). It's part of the "New normal": Does a global pandemic change employees' perception of Teleworking? *Journal of Business Research*, *164*, 113956. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2023.113956

Arber, M. M., Ireland, M. J., Feger, R., Marrington, J., Tehan, J., & Tehan, G. (2017). Ego depletion in real-time: An examination of the sequential-task paradigm. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *8*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.01672

Athanasiadou, C., & Theriou, G. (2021). Telework: Systematic Literature Review and Future Research Agenda. *Heliyon*, *7*(10). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e08165

Babapour Chafi, M., Hultberg, A., & Bozic Yams, N. (2021). Post-pandemic office work: Perceived challenges and opportunities for a sustainable work environment. *Sustainability*, *14*(1), 294. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14010294

Bakker, A., Demerouti, E., & Schaufeli, W. (2003). Dual processes at work in a call centre: An application of the job demands–resources model. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, *12*(4), 393–417. https://doi.org/10.1080/13594320344000165

Balk, Y. A., & Englert, C. (2020). Recovery self-regulation in sport: Theory, research, and Practice. *International Journal of Sports Science & Coaching*, *15*(2), 273–281. https://doi.org/10.1177/1747954119897528

Baron, R. S. (1986). Distraction-conflict theory: Progress and problems. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*, 1–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0065-2601(08)60211-7

Battisti, E., Alfiero, S., & Leonidou, E. (2022). Remote working and digital transformation during the COVID-19 pandemic: Economic–financial impacts and psychological drivers for employees. *Journal of Business Research*, *150*, 38–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2022.06.010

Beckel, J. L., & Fisher, G. G. (2022). Telework and worker health and well-being: A review and recommendations for research and Practice. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *19*(7), 3879. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19073879

Belzunegui-Eraso, A., & Erro-Garcés, A. (2020). Teleworking in the context of the COVID-19 crisis. *Sustainability*, *12*(9), 3662. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12093662

Bennett, A. A., Bakker, A. B., & Field, J. G. (2017). Recovery from work-related effort: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, *39*(3), 262–275. https://doi.org/10.1002/job.2217

Bennett, A. A., Gabriel, A. S., & Calderwood, C. (2020). Examining the interplay of micro-break durations and activities for employee recovery: A mixed-methods investigation. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, *25*(2), 126–142. https://doi.org/10.1037/ocp0000168

Berjot, S., & Gillet, N. (2011). Stress and coping with discrimination and stigmatization. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *2*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2011.00033

Biehl, S. C., Ehlis, A.-C., Müller, L. D., Niklaus, A., Pauli, P., & Herrmann, M. J. (2013). The impact of task relevance and degree of distraction on stimulus processing. *BMC Neuroscience*, *14*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2202-14-107

Blahopoulou, J., Ortiz-Bonnin, S., Montañez-Juan, M., Torrens Espinosa, G., & García-Buades, M. E. (2022). Telework satisfaction, wellbeing and performance in the digital era. lessons learned during covid-19 lockdown in Spain. *Current Psychology*, *41*(5), 2507–2520. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-022-02873-x

Bond, C. F., & Titus, L. J. (1983). Social Facilitation: A meta-analysis of 241 studies. *Psychological Bulletin*, *94*(2), 265–292. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.94.2.265

Boyd, N. G., Lewin, J. E., & Sager, J. K. (2009). A model of stress and coping and their influence on individual and organizational outcomes. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, *75*(2), 197–211. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2009.03.010

Brauchli, R., Jenny, G. J., Füllemann, D., & Bauer, G. F. (2015). Towards a job demands-resources health model: Empirical testing with generalizable indicators of job demands, job resources, and Comprehensive Health Outcomes. *BioMed Research International*, *2015*, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/959621

Brumby, D. P., Donker, S. F., Kun, A. L., & Janssen, C. P. (2019). History and future of human-automation interaction. International Journal of Human-Computer Studies, 131, 99–107. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhcs.2019.05.006

Brynjolfsson, E., Horton, J., Ozimek, A., Rock, D., Sharma, G., & TuYe, H.-Y. (2020). *Covid-19 and Remote Work: An Early Look at US Data*. https://doi.org/10.3386/w27344

Bérastégui, P. (2021). Teleworking in the aftermath of the COVID-19 pandemic: Enabling conditions for a successful transition. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3856979

Campbell, J., & McDonald, C. (2009). Defining a conceptual framework for telework and an agenda for research in accounting and Finance. *International Journal of Business Information Systems*, *4*(4), 387. https://doi.org/10.1504/ijbis.2009.024502

Carlson, D. S., & Perrewé, P. L. (1999). The role of social support in the Stressor-strain relationship: An examination of work-family conflict. *Journal of Management*, *25*(4), 513–540. https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639902500403

Chu, J., Qaisar, S., Shah, Z., & Jalil, A. (2021). Attention or distraction? the impact of mobile phone on users' psychological well-being. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *12*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.612127

Conlin, A., Hu, X. (Judy), & Barber, L. K. (2020a). Comparing relaxation versus mastery microbreak activity: A within-task recovery perspective. *Psychological Reports*, *124*(1), 248–265. https://doi.org/10.1177/0033294119900347

Conlin, A., Hu, X. (Judy), & Barber, L. K. (2020b). Comparing relaxation versus mastery microbreak activity: A within-task recovery perspective. *Psychological Reports*, *124*(1), 248–265. https://doi.org/10.1177/0033294119900347

Costin, A., Roman, A. F., & Balica, R.-S. (2023). Remote work burnout, professional job stress, and employee emotional exhaustion during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *14*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1193854

Cottrell, N. B., Wack, D. L., Sekerak, G. J., & Rittle, R. H. (1968). Social facilitation of dominant responses by the presence of an audience and the mere presence of others. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *9*(3), 245–250. https://doi.org/10.1037/h0025902

Craik, F. I. (2014). Effects of distraction on memory and cognition: A commentary. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *5*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00841

Dang, J. (2017). An updated meta-analysis of the ego depletion effect. *Psychological Research*, *82*(4), 645–651. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00426-017-0862-x

Davis, G. (2020). Annotated Bibliography. Unpublished manuscript, NCU University.

Dekker, M. C., van Lochem, A. J., & Molenbroek, J. F. M. (2015). New product ideas to support macro breaks in computer work. *The Ergonomics Open Journal*, *8*(1), 13–26. https://doi.org/10.2174/1875934301508010013

Demerouti, E., & Bakker, A. B. (2022). Job demands-resources theory in times of crises: New propositions. *Organizational Psychology Review*, *13*(3), 209–236. https://doi.org/10.1177/20413866221135022

Demerouti, E., Bakker, A. B., Nachreiner, F., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2001). The job demands-resources model of burnout. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *86*(3), 499–512. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.86.3.499

DeVaro, J., Li, R., & Brookshire, D. (2007). Analysing the job characteristics model: New support from a cross-section of establishments. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, *18*(6), 986–1003. https://doi.org/10.1080/09585190701321211

Dolot, A. (2020). The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on remote work - the employee's perspective. *E-Mentor*, *83*(1), 35–43. https://doi.org/10.15219/em83.1456

Dwivedi, Y. K., Hughes, L., Baabdullah, A. M., Ribeiro-Navarrete, S., Giannakis, M., Al-Debei, M. M., Dennehy, D., Metri, B., Buhalis, D., Cheung, C. M. K., Conboy, K., Doyle, R., Dubey, R., Dutot, V., Felix, R., Goyal, D. P., Gustafsson, A., Hinsch, C., Jebabli, I., … Wamba, S. F. (2022). Metaverse beyond the hype: Multidisciplinary perspectives on emerging challenges, opportunities, and agenda for research, practice and policy. *International Journal of Information Management*, *66*, 102542. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2022.102542

Elbaz, S., Richards, J. B., & Provost Savard, Y. (2023). Teleworking and work–life balance during the covid-19 pandemic: A scoping review. *Canadian Psychology / Psychologie Canadienne*, *64*(4), 227–258. https://doi.org/10.1037/cap0000330

Farooqui, I. A., Pore, P., & Gothankar, J. (2018). Nomophobia: an emerging issue in medical institutions? Journal of Mental Health, 27(5), 438–441. https://doi-org.proxy1.ncu.edu/10.1080/09638237.2017.1417564

Fonner, K. L., & Roloff, M. E. (2010a). Why teleworkers are more satisfied with their jobs than are office-based workers: When less contact is beneficial. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, *38*(4), 336–361. https://doi.org/10.1080/00909882.2010.513998

Fonner, K. L., & Roloff, M. E. (2010b). Why teleworkers are more satisfied with their jobs than are office-based workers: When less contact is beneficial. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, *38*(4), 336–361. https://doi.org/10.1080/00909882.2010.513998

Fonner, K. L., & Roloff, M. E. (2012). Testing the connectivity paradox: Linking teleworkers' communication media use to social presence, stress from interruptions, and organizational identification. *Communication Monographs*, *79*(2), 205–231. https://doi.org/10.1080/03637751.2012.673000

Goh, Y. W., Sawang, S., & Oei, T. P. S. (2010). The revised transactional model (RTM) of Occupational Stress and Coping: An improved process approach. *The Australian and New Zealand Journal of Organisational Psychology*, *3*, 13–20. https://doi.org/10.1375/ajop.3.1.13

Golden, T. D., & Veiga, J. F. (2005). The impact of extent of telecommuting on job satisfaction: Resolving inconsistent findings. *Journal of Management*, *31*(2), 301–318. https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206304271768

Graf-Vlachy, L., Buhtz, K., & König, A. (2018). Social influence in technology adoption: taking stock and moving forward. *Management Review Quarterly*, *68*(1), 37–76. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11301-017-0133-3

Gritzka, S., MacIntyre, T. E., Dörfel, D., Baker-Blanc, J. L., & Calogiuri, G. (2020). The effects of workplace nature-based interventions on the mental health and well-being of employees: A systematic review. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, *11*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2020.00323

Guerin, B. (1983). Social Facilitation and social monitoring: A test of three models. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, *22*(3), 203–214. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8309.1983.tb00585.x

Hackman, J. R., & Oldham, G. R. (1976). Motivation through the design of work: Test of a theory. *Organizational Behavior and Human Performance*, *16*(2), 250–279. https://doi.org/10.1016/0030-5073(76)90016-7

Hagger, M. S., Chatzisarantis, N. L., Alberts, H., Anggono, C. O., Batailler, C., Birt, A. R., Brand, R., Brandt, M. J., Brewer, G., Bruyneel, S., Calvillo, D. P., Campbell, W. K., Cannon, P. R., Carlucci, M., Carruth, N. P., Cheung, T., Crowell, A., De Ridder, D. T., Dewitte, S., … Zwienenberg, M. (2016). A multilab preregistered replication of the ego-depletion effect. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, *11*(4), 546–573. https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691616652873

Hajal, G. E. (2022). Teleworking and the jobs of Tomorrow. *Research in Hospitality Management*, *12*(1), 21–27. https://doi.org/10.1080/22243534.2022.2080953

Hallbeck, M. S., Lowndes, B. R., Bingener, J., Abdelrahman, A. M., Yu, D., Bartley, A., & Park, A. E. (2017). The impact of intraoperative microbreaks with exercises on surgeons: A multi-center cohort study. *Applied Ergonomics*, *60*, 334–341. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apergo.2016.12.006

Hargreaves, E. A., Hayr, K. T., Jenkins, M., Perry, T., & Peddie, M. (2020). Interrupting sedentary time in the workplace using regular short activity breaks. *Journal of Occupational & Environmental Medicine*, *62*(4), 317–324. https://doi.org/10.1097/jom.0000000000001832

Howe, D. C., Chauhan, R. S., Soderberg, A. T., & Buckley, M. R. (2021). Paradigm shifts caused by the COVID-19 pandemic. *Organizational Dynamics*, *50*(4), 100804. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orgdyn.2020.100804

Hu, Q., Schaufeli, W. B., & Taris, T. W. (2011). The job demands–resources model: An analysis of additive and joint effects of demands and resources. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, *79*(1), 181–190. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2010.12.009

Huang, Y. (2016). How to design internet of things to encourage office workers to take more regular micro-breaks. *Proceedings of the European Conference on Cognitive Ergonomics*. https://doi.org/10.1145/2970930.2970963

Huang, Y., Benford, S., Hendrickx, H., Treloar, R., & Blake, H. (2017). Office workers' perceived barriers and facilitators to taking regular micro-breaks at work: A diary-probed interview study. *Persuasive Technology: Development and Implementation of*

Personalized Technologies to Change Attitudes and Behaviors, 149–161. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-55134-0_12

Hunter, E. M., & Wu, C. (2016). Give me a better break: Choosing workday break activities to maximize resource recovery. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *101*(2), 302–311. https://doi.org/10.1037/apl0000045

Härtel, T. M., Hüttemann, D., & Müller, J. (2023). Associations between the implementation of telework strategies and job performance: Moderating influences of Boundary Management preferences and telework experience. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *14*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1099138

Inzlicht, M., & Schmeichel, B. J. (2012). What is ego depletion? toward a mechanistic revision of the resource model of self-control. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, *7*(5), 450–463. https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691612454134

Jett, Q. R., & George, J. M. (2003a). Work interrupted: A closer look at the role of interruptions in Organizational Life. *The Academy of Management Review*, *28*(3), 494. https://doi.org/10.2307/30040736

Jett, Q. R., & George, J. M. (2003b). Work interrupted: A closer look at the role of interruptions in Organizational Life. *The Academy of Management Review*, *28*(3), 494. https://doi.org/10.2307/30040736

Johns, G., Xie, J. L., & Fang, Y. (1992). Mediating and moderating effects in job design. *Journal of Management*, *18*(4), 657–676. https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639201800404

Karahanna, E., Evaristo, J. R., & Srite, M. (2005). Levels of culture and individual behavior. *Journal of Global Information Management*, *13*(2), 1–20. https://doi.org/10.4018/jgim.2005040101

Kaviani F., Robards, B., Young, K. L., & Koppel, S. (2020). Nomophobia: Is the Fear of Being without a Smartphone Associated with Problematic Use? *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 17(17)*. https://doi-org.proxy1.ncu.edu/10.3390/ijerph17176024

Kerman, K., Korunka, C., & Tement, S. (2021). Work and home boundary violations during the Covid-19 pandemic: The role of segmentation preferences and unfinished tasks. *Applied Psychology*, *71*(3), 784–806. https://doi.org/10.1111/apps.12335

Kim, Sooyeol, Cho, S., & Park, Y. (2022). Daily microbreaks in a self-regulatory resources lens: Perceived health climate as a contextual moderator via microbreak autonomy. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *107*(1), 60–77. https://doi.org/10.1037/apl0000891

Kim, Sooyeol, Park, Y., & Headrick, L. (2018). Daily micro-breaks and job performance: General work engagement as a cross-level moderator. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *103*(7), 772–786. https://doi.org/10.1037/apl0000308

Kim, Sooyeol, Park, Y., & Niu, Q. (2016). Micro-break activities at work to recover from daily work demands. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, *38*(1), 28–44. https://doi.org/10.1002/job.2109

Kim, Sungdoo, Furst-Holloway, S., Hollensbe, E., Masterson, S., Sprinkle, T., & Bologna, D. (2019). A qualitative study of "online" work breaks. *Organization Management Journal*, *16*(4), 235–250. https://doi.org/10.1080/15416518.2019.1663142

Li, L., Liu, H., Wang, G., Chen, Y., & Huang, L. (2022). The relationship between ego depletion and prosocial behavior of college students during the COVID-19 pandemic: The role of Social Self-efficacy and personal belief in a just world. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *13*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.801006

Li, Y., Tuckey, M. R., Bakker, A., Chen, P. Y., & Dollard, M. F. (2022). Linking objective and subjective job demands and resources in the JD-R model: A multilevel design. *Work & Stress*, *37*(1), 27–54. https://doi.org/10.1080/02678373.2022.2028319

Lindner, C., Nagy, G., Ramos Arhuis, W. A., & Retelsdorf, J. (2017). A new perspective on the interplay between self-control and cognitive performance: Modeling Progressive Depletion Patterns. *PLOS ONE*, *12*(6). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0180149

Lurquin, J. H., & Miyake, A. (2017). Challenges to ego-depletion research go beyond the replication crisis: A need for tackling the conceptual crisis. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *8*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00568

Lyubykh, Z., Gulseren, D., Premji, Z., Wingate, T. G., Deng, C., Bélanger, L. J., & Turner, N. (2022). Role of work breaks in well-being and performance: A systematic review and future research agenda. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, *27*(5), 470–487. https://doi.org/10.1037/ocp0000337

Mackey, J. D., & Perrewé, P. L. (2014). The AAA (appraisals, attributions, adaptation) model of job stress. *Organizational Psychology Review*, *4*(3), 258–278. https://doi.org/10.1177/2041386614525072

Mainsbridge, C. P., Cooley, D., Dawkins, S., de Salas, K., Tong, J., Schmidt, M. W., & Pedersen, S. J. (2020). Taking a stand for office-based Workers' Mental Health: The Return of the Microbreak. *Frontiers in Public Health*, *8*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2020.00215

Manokha, I. (2020). The implications of digital employee monitoring and people analytics for power relations in the Workplace. *Surveillance & Society*, *18*(4), 540–554. https://doi.org/10.24908/ss.v18i4.13776

Marcora, S. M., Staiano, W., & Manning, V. (2009). Mental fatigue impairs physical performance in humans. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, *106*(3), 857–864. https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.91324.2008

Mark, G., Iqbal, S., & Czerwinski, M. (2017). How blocking distractions affects workplace focus and productivity. Proceedings of the 2017 ACM International Joint Conference on Pervasive and Ubiquitous Computing and Proceedings of the 2017 ACM International Symposium on Wearable Computers, 928–934. https://doi.org/10.1145/3123024.3124558

McLean, L., Tingley, M., Scott, R. N., & Rickards, J. (2001). Computer terminal work and the benefit of microbreaks. *Applied Ergonomics*, *32*(3), 225–237. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0003-6870(00)00071-5

Mendoza, J. S., Pody, B. C., Lee, S., Kim, M., & McDonough, I. M. (2018). The effect of cellphones on attention and learning: The influences of time, distraction, and nomophobia. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *86*, 52–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2018.04.027

Milasi, S., Fernández-Macías, E., & González-Vázquez, I. (2021). Telework before the COVID-19 pandemic. *OECD Productivity Working Papers*. https://doi.org/10.1787/d5e42dd1-en

Min, J. (2017). Effects of the use of social network sites on task performance: Toward a sustainable performance in a distracting work environment. *Sustainability*, *9*(12), 2270. https://doi.org/10.3390/su9122270

Mollee, J. S., Middelweerd, A., Velde, S. J., & Klein, M. C. (2017). Evaluation of a personalized coaching system for physical activity. *Proceedings of the 11th EAI International Conference on Pervasive Computing Technologies for Healthcare*. https://doi.org/10.1145/3154862.3154933

Nemțeanu, M.-S., & Dabija, D.-C. (2023). Negative impact of telework, job insecurity, and work–life conflict on employee behaviour. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *20*(5), 4182. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20054182

Newbold, J. W., Rudnicka, A., & Cox, A. (2021). Staying active while staying home: The use of Physical Activity Technologies during life disruptions. *Frontiers in Digital Health*, *3*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fdgth.2021.753115

Ng, M. A., Naranjo, A., Schlotzhauer, A. E., Shoss, M. K., Kartvelishvili, N., Bartek, M., Ingraham, K., Rodriguez, A., Schneider, S. K., Silverlieb-Seltzer, L., & Silva, C. (2021). Has the COVID-19 pandemic accelerated the future of work or changed its course? implications for research and Practice. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *18*(19), 10199. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph181910199

Nie, Q., Zhang, J., Peng, J., & Chen, X. (2021). Daily micro-break activities and workplace well-being: A recovery perspective. *Current Psychology*, *42*(12), 9972–9985. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-021-02300-7

Oberauer, K. (2019). Working memory and attention – A conceptual analysis and Review. *Journal of Cognition*, *2*(1). https://doi.org/10.5334/joc.58

Orhan, M. A., Castellano, S., Khelladi, I., Marinelli, L., & Monge, F. (2021). Technology distraction at work. impacts on self-regulation and work engagement. *Journal of Business Research*, *126*, 341–349. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.12.048

O'brien, G. E. (1982). Evaluation of the job characteristics theory of work attitudes and performance. *Australian Journal of Psychology*, *34*(3), 383–401. https://doi.org/10.1080/00049538208254733

O'Connor, R., & Basri, S. (2012). The effect of Team Dynamics on Software Development Process Improvement. *International Journal of Human Capital and Information Technology Professionals*, *3*(3), 13–26. https://doi.org/10.4018/jhcitp.2012070102

Patrickson, M. (2002). Teleworking: Potential employment opportunities for older workers? *International Journal of Manpower*, *23*(8), 704–715. https://doi.org/10.1108/01437720210453902

Piccolo, R. F., Greenbaum, R., Hartog, D. N., & Folger, R. (2010). The relationship between ethical leadership and Core Job Characteristics. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, *31*(2–3), 259–278. https://doi.org/10.1002/job.627

Pierce, J. L., Jussila, I., & Cummings, A. (2008). Psychological ownership within the job design context: Revision of the job characteristics model. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, *30*(4), 477–496. https://doi.org/10.1002/job.550

Qi, N., Jie, Z., Jian, P., & Yanzhao, B. (2020). Recuperate and Build up Energy: A Literature Review and Prospects of Micro-break in the Workplace. *Foreign Economics & Management*. https://doi.org/10.16538/j.cnki.fem.20200410.301

Radwan, A., Barnes, L., DeResh, R., Englund, C., & Gribanoff, S. (2022). Effects of active microbreaks on the physical and mental well-being of office workers: A systematic review. *Cogent Engineering*, *9*(1). https://doi.org/10.1080/23311916.2022.2026206

Ray, T. K., & Pana-Cryan, R. (2021). Work flexibility and work-related well-being. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *18*(6), 3254. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18063254

Ren, X., Yu, B., Lu, Y., Zhang, B., Hu, J., & Brombacher, A. (2019). Lightsit: An unobtrusive health-promoting system for relaxation and fitness microbreaks at work. *Sensors*, *19*(9), 2162. https://doi.org/10.3390/s19092162

Ribeiro, L., Ho, B. Q., & Senoo, D. (2021). How does a family caregiver's sense of role loss impact the caregiving experience? *Healthcare*, *9*(10), 1337. https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare9101337

Riedl, E. M., Müller, A., Perzl, J., & Thomas, J. (2023). Live-streaming activity and relaxation breaks: A (home-)office-compatible approach to promote break recovery, mood, and attention? *Occupational Health Science*, *7*(2), 353–377. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41542-022-00141-9

Rzeszotarski, J., Chi, E., Paritosh, P., & Dai, P. (2013). Inserting micro-breaks into crowdsourcing workflows. *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Human Computation and Crowdsourcing*, *1*, 62–63. https://doi.org/10.1609/hcomp.v1i1.13127

Savage, S. V., & Melamed, D. (2021). How social influence processes generate cohesion in task groups. *Social Psychology Quarterly*, *85*(1), 44–64. https://doi.org/10.1177/01902725211039832

Schleupner, R., & Kühnel, J. (2021). Fueling work engagement: The role of sleep, health, and overtime. *Frontiers in Public Health*, *9*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2021.592850

Shoss, M. K. (2017). Job insecurity: An integrative review and agenda for future research. *Journal of Management*, *43*(6), 1911–1939. https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206317691574

Sianoja, M., Syrek, C. J., de Bloom, J., Korpela, K., & Kinnunen, U. (2018). Enhancing daily well-being at work through lunchtime park walks and relaxation exercises: Recovery

experiences as mediators. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, *23*(3), 428–442. https://doi.org/10.1037/ocp0000083

Smite, D., Moe, N. B., Hildrum, J., Gonzalez-Huerta, J., & Mendez, D. (2023). Work-from-home is here to stay: Call for flexibility in post-pandemic work policies. *Journal of Systems and Software*, *195*, 111552. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2022.111552

Sonnentag, S., & Fritz, C. (2014). Recovery from job stress: The stressor-detachment model as an integrative framework. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, *36*(S1). https://doi.org/10.1002/job.1924

Suh, A., & Lee, J. (2017). Understanding teleworkers' technostress and its influence on job satisfaction. *Internet Research*, *27*(1), 140–159. https://doi.org/10.1108/intr-06-2015-0181

Sun, C., Tong, J., Qi, X., He, Z., & Qian, J. (2023). Effects of 7-minute practices of breathing and meditation on stress reduction. *PsyCh Journal*. https://doi.org/10.1002/pchj.702

Tarafdar, M., Cooper, C. L., & Stich, J. (2017). The Technostress Trifecta - techno eustress, techno distress and design: Theoretical directions and an agenda for research. *Information Systems Journal*, *29*(1), 6–42. https://doi.org/10.1111/isj.12169

Tarafdar, M., Pullins, E. Bolman., & Ragu-Nathan, T. S. (2014). Technostress: Negative effect on performance and possible mitigations. *Information Systems Journal*, *25*(2), 103–132. https://doi.org/10.1111/isj.12042

Taskin, L., & Bridoux, F. (2010). Telework: A challenge to knowledge transfer in organizations. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, *21*(13), 2503–2520. https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2010.516600

Trougakos, J. P., Chawla, N., & McCarthy, J. M. (2020). Working in a pandemic: Exploring the impact of covid-19 health anxiety on work, family, and health outcomes. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *105*(11), 1234–1245. https://doi.org/10.1037/apl0000739

Trougakos, J. P., Hideg, I., Cheng, B. H., & Beal, D. J. (2014). Lunch breaks unpacked: The Role of Autonomy as a moderator of recovery during lunch. *Academy of Management Journal*, *57*(2), 405–421. https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2011.1072

Ukezono, M., Nakashima, S. F., Sudo, R., Yamazaki, A., & Takano, Y. (2015). The combination of perception of other individuals and exogenous manipulation of arousal enhances social facilitation as an aftereffect: Re-examination of

Vartiainen, M. (2021). Telework and remote work. *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Psychology*. https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780190236557.013.850

Vayre, É., Morin-Messabel, C., Cros, F., Maillot, A.-S., & Odin, N. (2022). Benefits and risks of teleworking from home: The teleworkers' point of View. *Information*, *13*(11), 545. https://doi.org/10.3390/info13110545

Wendsche, J., de Bloom, J., Syrek, C., & Vahle-Hinz, T. (2021). Always on, never done? how the mind recovers after a stressful workday? *German Journal of Human Resource Management: Zeitschrift Für Personalforschung*, *35*(2), 117–151. https://doi.org/10.1177/23970022211004598

Zacher, H., Brailsford, H. A., & Parker, S. L. (2014). Micro-breaks matter: A diary study on the effects of energy management strategies on occupational well-being. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, *85*(3), 287–297. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2014.08.005

Zacher, H., Schmitt, A., Jimmieson, N. L., & Rudolph, C. W. (2018). Dynamic effects of personal initiative on engagement and exhaustion: The role of mood, autonomy, and

support. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, *40*(1), 38–58. https://doi.org/10.1002/job.2277

Zhang, M., Li, C., Shang, Y., Huang, H., Zhu, W., & Liu, Y. (2021). A task scheduling model integrating micro-breaks for optimisation of job-cycle time in human-robot collaborative assembly cells. *International Journal of Production Research*, *60*(15), 4766–4777. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2021.1937746

Zickerick, B., Thönes, S., Kobald, S. O., Wascher, E., Schneider, D., & Küper, K. (2020). Differential effects of interruptions and distractions on working memory processes in an ERP study. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, *14*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2020.00084

Zoupanou, Z., Cropley, M., & Rydstedt, L. W. (2013). Recovery after work: The role of work beliefs in the unwinding process. *PLoS ONE*, *8*(12). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0081381

Appendix A: Interview Questions Instrument

Interview Questions
RQ11Q1. What specific **challenges** do teleworkers face relating to technology-induced microbreaks?
RQ11Q2. How do technology-induced microbreaks **reduce productivity**?
RQ11Q3. How do technology-induced microbreaks **reduce well being**?
RQ11Q4. How do technology-induced microbreaks **reduce job satisfaction**?
RQ2IQ1. What specific **opportunities** do teleworkers see relating to technology-induced microbreaks?
RQ2IQ2. How do technology-induced microbreaks **increase productivity**?
RQ2IQ3. How do technology-induced microbreaks **increase well being**?
RQ2IQ4. How do technology-induced microbreaks **increase job satisfaction**?
RQ3IQ1. How does the organization **identify** the harmful impacts of technology-induced microbreaks?
RQ3IQ2. What are some **coping strategies** in dealing with the harmful impacts of technology-induced microbreaks?
RQ4IQ1. What **advantages** can your organization realize from technology-induced microbreaks?
RQ4IQ2. How can **technology-induced microbreak policies and practices** help an organization?